

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 1997

MAY 23, 1996.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mrs. VUCANOVICH, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 3517]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignments and closures for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997.

CONTENTS

	Page
Summary of Committee Recommendation	2
Conformance with Authorization Bill	3
Permanent Party Unaccompanied Personnel Housing	3
Child Development Centers	6
Hospital and Medical Facilities	7
Environmental Compliance Projects	8
Demolition of Excess Facilities	8
Crumbling Infrastructure	9
European Construction	10
Real Property Maintenance	10
Program, Project and Activity	10
Military Construction:	
Army	11
Navy	12
Air Force	13
Defense-wide	14
Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund	20
Reserve Components	21
NATO Security Investment Program	24
Family Housing Overview	24
Family Housing:	
Army	29
Navy	30
Air Force	31

Defense-wide	33
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	33
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense	34
Base Realignment and Closure:	
Overview	34
Part I	43
Part II	43
Part III	44
Part IV	44
General Provisions	44
Changes in Application of Existing Law	45
Compliance with Rule XIII—Clause 3	47
Appropriations Not Authorized by Law	47
Transfer of Funds	48
Rescission of Funds	48
Inflationary Impact Statement	48
Comparisons with Budget Resolution	48
Advance Spending Authority	48
Five-Year Projection of Outlays	48
Financial Assistance	49
State List	49

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Administration's fiscal year 1997 budget request of \$9,132,309,000 represents a decrease of \$2,044,700,000, or 18 percent, from the fiscal year 1996 appropriation of \$11,177,009,000. While there are aspects of the budget request that help to solve the long-term infrastructure problems faced by the Department of Defense, the Committee has some concerns about the budget request overall. For example, the Administration has committed itself to a serious barracks revitalization program. Yet, the request for barracks construction is \$64,492,000 below last year's appropriation. And, family housing construction and operation and maintenance accounts are reduced by \$405,339,000. The budget request would provide \$714,246,000 for family housing construction, a reduction of \$228,878,000 from current levels. Of this amount, \$369,587,000 is requested for construction of new family housing units, a reduction of \$97,337,000, or 21 percent, from current spending. And, the request for improvements to existing family housing units is reduced by \$131,177,000, or 30 percent from the current program. In addition, the budget request would reduce maintenance of family housing units a total of \$112,331,000.

The lack of funding commitment by the Administration's proposal is especially of concern due to the findings of the Quality of Life Task Force, chaired by former Secretary of the Army Jack Marsh. In its report, the task force noted that 62 percent of troop housing spaces and 64 percent of family housing units are currently unsuitable. The Department of Defense estimates the cost of correcting these deficiencies to be approximately \$40,000,000,000.

The Committee believes it is imperative to address the severe backlog in readiness, revitalization and quality of life projects. The Committee has recommended an additional \$900,000,000 above the Administration's fiscal year 1997 budget request to fund the planning and construction of several barracks, family housing and operational facilities. Included in this additional funding is:

—\$214,116,000 for 21 additional unaccompanied housing projects;

- \$303,152,000 for new construction and improvements to family housing units, benefitting approximately 3,700 military families;
- \$100,000,000 to bring family housing maintenance of real property in line with current spending;
- \$28,260,000 for nine child development centers;
- \$25,000,000 to support the privatization of family housing and unaccompanied personnel housing;
- \$155,990,000 for operational and training facilities for the active components; and,
- \$84,066,000 for operational, training, environmental compliance and safety related activities for the reserve components.

The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 1997 is \$10,032,309,000, a reduction of \$1,144,700,000, or ten percent, from fiscal year 1996 funding and an increase of \$900,000,000 above the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The House passed H.R. 3230, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1997, on May 15, 1996, which contains the authorization for the military construction, family housing and base realignment and closure accounts included in this bill. Because action on the authorization had not been completed at the time this bill was prepared, the Committee is considering only projects recommended for authorization in H.R. 3230. All projects included in this bill are approved subject to authorization.

PERMANENT PARTY UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING

The Department of Defense estimates that 44 percent of the enlisted force and 27 percent of the officers are single or unaccompanied personnel. Although 18 percent live in private off-base housing, the Department has over 612,000 men and women living in permanent party unaccompanied personnel housing. Approximately one-half of the barracks were built 30 or more years ago, with an average age of over 40 years. And, 116,000 spaces are still serviced by gang latrines. Of the total inventory over 62% are considered substandard and continuous maintenance is necessary to deal with such problems as asbestos, corroded pipes, inadequate ventilation, faulty heating and cooling systems, and peeling lead-based paint.

The following chart, compiled by the Department of Defense, provides a breakout by Service of the deficit of new construction, replacement and renovation:

	New construction deficit	Replacement deficit	Renovation deficit	Total
Army	800	50,000	78,000	128,800
Navy	30,000	7,000	35,600	72,600
Air Force	29,000	3,000	700	32,700
Marine Corps	11,005	14,270	33,016	58,291
Total	70,805	74,270	147,316	292,391

The Department estimates with approximately 238,000 unaccompanied permanent party personnel housed in barracks with open

bay, gang latrine, or three-per-room configurations, and with the cost to construct a "1 plus 1" living space under the new standard estimated at \$52,000, approximately \$12,400,000,000 is necessary to buy out this deficit. It will take over twenty years to implement the "1 plus 1" standard and achieve desired end states.

The Committee understands that improving troop housing does not lie solely in new construction and renovations. Retiring the backlog of maintenance and repair, which is under the jurisdiction of the National Security Subcommittee, and an adequate funding commitment to prevent future backlogs plays an important role in this process. It is necessary to use many different approaches to help meet the unaccompanied housing need. The challenge is for a sustained overall commitment, at funding levels that will reduce the backlog of substandard spaces, reduce the housing deficits, and increase the quality of living conditions in a reasonable period of time.

In addition to construction funding, the Committee has also recommended \$10,000,000 for start-up costs to the Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund which is discussed in detail later in this report. It is the Committee's intention that the Department aggressively apply these new authorities to obtain and use private capital to improve bachelor living conditions in a more reasonable time frame.

DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE ON QUALITY OF LIFE

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Quality of Life, chaired by the Honorable John O. Marsh, Jr. cited five major issues affecting the standard of living for single and unaccompanied service members. These issues include broad policies for bachelor housing; policy governing required and allowed residents in barracks; suitability criteria for bachelor housing; funding for bachelor housing; and management and operation of barracks. The Committee agrees that these issues are inter-related and must be addressed as a package. Many options presented by the Task Force can be accomplished within the Department and do not require legislative action. The Department is to submit to the Committee a thorough implementation plan for these recommendations by January 15, 1997.

"1 PLUS 1" BARRACKS STANDARD

In November 1995, the Secretary of Defense approved the new barracks construction standard, referred to as "1 plus 1". This standard would provide a module consisting of two 118 net square feet (NSF) rooms, a bath and a kitchenette. Two E1's through E4's would be assigned to the module (each having a private 118 NSF room) and share a bath and a kitchenette. One E5 through E9 would be assigned to a module which would provide a private bath, kitchenette and a living room. The estimated cost for this standard is \$52,000 per space.

The Committee notes that while the Services strongly endorse the "1 plus 1" concept, concrete funding goals need to be established and maintained to meet the standard in a timely manner. The Navy and Air Force have adequately programmed for fiscal year 1997, yet the Army has only programmed 85% of its require-

ment. While there are many competing factors, such as failing infrastructure, there is a necessity to reduce the troop housing deficits and the Committee expects the Services to program properly to eliminate this deficit, even if it means granting a waiver to the “1 plus 1” concept.

The Committee strongly believes that the development of barracks standard implementation master plans, installation-by-installation, is necessary. The Air Force has already embarked on such an effort and, therefore, the Committee directs the Army, Navy and Marine Corps to initiate such master plans. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installations is to monitor this action and report to the Committee by December 16, 1996 of the individual Service’s progress.

In addition, the Committee encourages the individual Services to seek to establish site adaptable design for the new barrack standard whenever possible. This should result in significant savings in planning and design for barracks projects. The Department is to report to the Committee by February 1, 1997 on its efforts to standardize design.

FISCAL YEAR 1997 BARRACKS REQUEST

The Department of Defense has requested \$561,638,000 to construct or modernize 41 barracks in fiscal year 1997. This is a reduction of \$64,492,000 from the enacted fiscal year 1996 appropriation. The Committee strongly supports a steady flow of funding to rectify the housing situation and directs the Department to maintain current funding levels in its fiscal year 1998 budget request.

The Committee has approved the request of \$561,638,000 in full. In order to maintain current funding levels and to help alleviate the deficit, an additional \$214,116,000 is recommended. The locations were determined by service priorities and all projects are capable of construction during fiscal year 1997. The total appropriation for unaccompanied housing recommended in this bill is \$775,754,000.

The following troop housing construction projects are recommended for fiscal year 1997:

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Fort Huachuca, Arizona	0	\$21,000,000
Fort Carson, Colorado	\$13,000,000	13,000,000
Fort Benning, Georgia	44,000,000	44,000,000
Fort McPherson, Georgia	0	9,100,000
Fort Riley, Kansas	26,000,000	26,000,000
Fort Campbell, Kentucky	35,000,000	35,000,000
Fort Knox, Kentucky	0	20,500,000
Fort Hood, Texas	35,000,000	35,000,000
Fort Lewis, Washington	49,000,000	49,000,000
Taylor Barracks, Mannheim, Germany	0	9,300,000
Spinelli Barracks, Mannheim, Germany	0	8,100,000
Camp Casey, Korea	16,000,000	16,000,000
Camp Red Cloud, Korea	14,000,000	14,000,000
Subtotal, Army	232,000,000	300,000,000
Navy/Naval Reserve:		
Yuma MCAS, Arizona	0	14,600,000
Camp Pendleton, California	10,100,000	10,100,000

Location	Request	Recommended
Camp Pendleton, California	11,800,000	11,800,000
Camp Pendleton, California	12,500,000	12,500,000
Port Hueneme, California	0	7,700,000
San Clemente, California	17,000,000	17,000,000
New London, Connecticut	10,600,000	10,600,000
Washington Naval District, District of Columbia	19,300,000	19,300,000
Kaneohe Bay MCAS, Hawaii	0	20,080,000
Pearl Harbor, Hawaii	19,600,000	19,600,000
Pearl Harbor, Hawaii	30,500,000	30,500,000
Pearl Harbor, Hawaii	5,390,000	5,390,000
Great Lakes, Illinois	22,900,000	22,900,000
Great Lakes NH, Illinois	0	15,200,000
Crane NSWC, Indiana	0	5,000,000
New Orleans NSA, Louisiana	0	8,956,000
Fallon NAS, Nevada	0	14,800,000
Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	5,190,000	5,190,000
Ingleside, Texas	9,600,000	9,600,000
Dahlgren NSWC, Virginia	0	8,030,000
Dam Neck FCTC, Virginia	0	7,000,000
Everett, Washington	10,940,000	10,940,000
Souda Bay (Crete), Greece	7,050,000	7,050,000
Souda Bay (Crete), Greece	0	4,000,000
Sigonella, Italy	15,700,000	15,700,000
Subtotal, Navy	208,170,000	313,536,000
Air Force:		
Luke AFB, Arizona	0	6,700,000
Travis AFB, California	7,980,000	7,980,000
Travis AFB, California	0	8,250,000
Peterson AFB, Colorado	8,350,000	8,350,000
Eglin AFB Aux 9, Florida	6,825,000	6,825,000
Robins AFB, Georgia	0	4,000,000
Mountain Home AFB, Idaho	0	9,300,000
McConnell AFB, Kansas	8,480,000	8,480,000
McConnell AFB, Kansas	0	7,100,000
Andrews AFB, Maryland	5,990,000	5,990,000
Keesler AFB, Mississippi	14,465,000	14,465,000
McGuire AFB, New Jersey	8,080,000	8,080,000
Seymour Johnson AFB, North Carolina	1,925,000	1,925,000
Charleston AFB, South Carolina	8,180,000	8,180,000
Brooks AFB, Texas	0	5,400,000
Dyess AFB, Texas	5,895,000	5,895,000
Lackland AFB, Texas	4,613,000	4,613,000
McChord AFB, Washington	5,390,000	5,390,000
Ramstein AB, Germany	5,370,000	5,370,000
Osan AB, Korea	9,780,000	9,780,000
Incirklik AB, Turkey	1,740,000	1,740,000
RAF Lakenheath, UK	7,950,000	7,950,000
RAF Lakenheath, UK	4,260,000	4,260,000
RAF Mildenhall, UK	6,195,000	6,195,000
Subtotal, Air Force	121,468,000	162,218,000
Total	561,638,000	775,754,000

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The Committee has recommended an additional \$28,260,000 above the budget estimate of \$6,165,000 for a total appropriation of \$34,425,000 for new construction, or improvements, for child development centers. The Committee recognizes the increased importance of these centers due to the rising number of single military

parents, dual military couples and military personnel with a civilian employed spouse.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 1997:

Location	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Fort Carson, Colorado	0	\$4,550,000
Fort Eustis, Virginia	0	3,550,000
Darmstadt (Lincoln Village), Germany	0	7,300,000
Subtotal	0	15,400,000
Navy/Naval Reserve:		
Twentynine Palms MarCorp Air-Grnd Comb Ctr, California	\$4,020,000	4,020,000
Albany MCLB, Georgia	0	1,630,000
Kings Bay NSB, Georgia	0	1,550,000
New Orleans NSA, Louisiana	0	1,330,000
Fallon NAS, Nevada	0	1,400,000
New River MCAS, North Carolina	0	3,250,000
Subtotal	4,020,000	13,180,000
Air Force:		
F.E. Warren AFB, Wyoming	0	3,700,000
Robins AFB, Georgia	2,145,000	2,145,000
Subtotal	2,145,000	5,845,000
Total	6,165,000	34,425,000

HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL FACILITIES

The budget request includes \$332,642,000 for 14 projects and for unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical facilities, including both treatment facilities and medical research and development facilities. The Committee recommends a total of \$312,642,000 for the requested items. The reduction of \$20,000,000 from the budget request is the result of limiting funds for the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research to the amount of construction that can be executed during fiscal year 1997. The following hospital and medical projects are provided for fiscal year 1997:

Location	Project title	Recommended
Alabama-Maxwell AFB	Ambulatory Health Care Center, Phase II	\$25,000,000
California-Camp Pendleton MCB	Branch Medical Clinic (Edson Range)—	3,300,000
California-NAS Lemoore	Hospital Replacement—	38,000,000
Florida-Key West NAS	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement—	13,600,000
Maryland-Andrews AFB	Life Safety/Emergency Room Upgrade—	15,500,000
Maryland-Forest Glen (Walter Reed Army Institute of Research)	Army Institute of Research, Phase IV	72,000,000
North Carolina-Fort Bragg	Cons Troop Med Clinic (Smoke Bomb Hill)—	11,400,000
South Carolina-Charleston AFB	Hospital Replacement, Phase IV—	89,000,000
Texas-Fort Bliss	WRM/BEE Facility—	1,300,000
Texas-Fort Hood	Life Safety Upgrade—	6,600,000
Virginia-Norfolk NAS	Social Work Services Clinic—	1,950,000
Virginia-Portsmouth Naval Hospital	Environmental Preventive Med Unit Addition—	1,250,000
Bahrain Island-ASU Bahrain	Hospital Replacement, Phase VIII—	24,000,000
Worldwide-Various Locations	Medical/Dental Clinic—	4,600,000
	Unspecified Minor Construction—	5,142,000
Total		312,642,000

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROJECTS

The total budget request and appropriation for 31 projects needed to meet environmental compliance is \$88,298,000. The Federal Facilities Compliance Act requires all federal facilities to meet both federal and State standards. These projects are considered Class I violations and are out of compliance; have received an enforcement action from the Environmental Protection Agency, the State, or local authority; and/or a compliance agreement has been signed or consent order received. Environmental projects that are Class I violations are required to be funded, and therefore are placed at the top of the priority list.

Following is a listing of all environmental compliance projects funded in this bill:

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation	Project title	Recommended
Army:		
Fort Lewis, WA	Tank Trail Erosion Mitigation	\$2,000,000
Navy:		
NSB New London, CT	Hazardous Materials Warehouse	3,230,000
MCB Camp Lejeune, NC	Wastewater Treatment Plant (Phase III)	3,230,000
NS Norfolk, VA	Oily Waste Collection System	10,200,000
NAWC Patuxent River, MD	Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	1,270,000
NS San Diego, CA	Oily Waste Collection Facility	7,050,000
MCCOMBDEV Quantico, VA	Sanitary Landfill	8,930,000
Air Force:		
Arnold AFB, TN	Upgrade Engine Test Facility Refrig System	3,790,000
Barksdale AFB, LA	Upgrade Sanitary Sewer System	2,390,000
Beale AFB, CA	Landfill Closure	6,735,000
Edwards AFB, CA	Convert Boilers	3,120,000
Elmendorf AFB, AK	Upgrade Storm Drainage System	2,095,000
Langley AFB, VA	Upgrade Sanitary Sewer System	2,845,000
Little Rock AFB, AR	Upgrade Sanitary Sewer System	2,535,000
Minot AFB, ND	Underground Fuel Storage Tanks, Missile Facility ...	3,940,000
Pope AFB, NC	Upgrade Sanitary Sewer System	2,065,000
Shaw AFB, SC	Upgrade Sanitary Sewer System	2,365,000
Army National Guard:		
Camp Atterbury, IN	Central Vehicle Wash Facility	4,747,000
Air National Guard:		
Jacksonville IAP, FL	Upgrade Heating Plants and Chillers	680,000
Fort Wayne IAP, IN	Upgrade Drainage System	480,000
Barnes Municipal Apt, MA	Upgrade Heating Distribution System	500,000
Selfridge ANGB, MI	Upgrade Heating Systems	3,000,000
Francis S. Gabreski Apt, NY—	Aircraft Washing and Deicing Facility—	659,000
Fort Worth JRB, TX	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility—	3,450,000
Volk Field ANGB, WI	Upgrade Sanitary Sewer System	850,000
Puerto Rico IAP, PR	Refueling Vehicle Shop and Paint Bay—	450,000
Air Force Reserve:		
Homestead ARB, FL	Fire Training Facility	1,300,000
Niagara Falls ARS, NY	Fire Training Facility	1,600,000
	Deicing Facility	342,000
Youngstown ARS, OH	Fire Training Facility	1,500,000
Billy Mitchell Field, WI	Improve Storm Drainage System	950,000
Total	88,298,000

DEMOLITION OF EXCESS FACILITIES

The Committee has recommended a total of \$30,000,000 for the purpose of demolishing excess facilities. The authority for such demolition is contained in H.R. 3230, as passed the House on May 15, 1996. Such funds may only be used when the Service Secretary

has determined that the facility is excess to the needs of the military department or agency concerned and not suitable for reuse.

Any demolition project exceeding \$500,000 may not be carried out under this appropriation unless approved in advance by the Service Secretary and a 21-day notification is submitted to the Appropriations Committees.

The Committee notes that demolition projects involving military family housing, those as a result of a base closure and realignment action and as a result of environmental contamination may not be executed with funds appropriated under this category. In addition, this appropriation is in no way meant to preclude the inclusion of demolition of facilities as an integral part of a specific military construction project when the demolition is required for accomplishment of the intent of that construction project.

These funds are to be used solely for demolition purposes and it is the Committee's intention they will not be used as a source for reprogrammings. However, savings from specific projects may be reprogrammed for this purpose, not to exceed 125% of the original appropriation as contained in the enabling legislation.

The individual Service Secretaries are to report to the Committee on Appropriations the overall strategy for use of these funds within thirty days of enactment of this Act.

The Committee recommends a total of \$30,000,000 for demolition distributed as follows:

<i>Component</i>	<i>Recommendation</i>
Army	\$10,000,000
Navy	10,000,000
Air Force	10,000,000
Total	30,000,000

CRUMBLING INFRASTRUCTURE

At their core, military installations are very similar to cities. They rely upon transportation networks such as railroads, vehicular roads, air operations, and seaport facilities. They cannot function without communications systems—telephone lines (both open and secure), satellite uplinks and downlinks. They require more mundane but essential day-to-day support—water and sewer systems, electrical generation and distribution systems, and climate control.

The Committee is concerned over indications that military construction projects to provide such infrastructure support do not receive sufficient priority. It appears that there is great interest in improving the physical plant of the Department of Defense, with too little attention paid to the supporting infrastructure.

Reports are beginning to surface regarding aging installations with crumbling supporting facilities. This deteriorating base infrastructure has serious implications for the ability of the military to meet mission requirements.

The Department has recognized this need in the case of the Pentagon building itself, and has embarked on a multi-year effort to renovate the facility and its infrastructure. The Committee supports this initiative, but notes that most military installations predate the Pentagon building, and suffer similar deficiencies or worse.

The Committee encourages the Department and the Services to assess the need for installation-wide infrastructure projects and to program and budget for this work.

EUROPEAN CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has recommended the requested \$127,071,000 and an additional \$48,800,000, for a total of \$175,871,000 for construction in Europe. This includes 25 quality of life and 10 operational projects.

Since fiscal year 1990, U.S. troops stationed in Europe have drawn-down from 314,200 personnel to 109,000 and the number of installations has been significantly reduced from 1,387 to 582. The reshaping of U.S. force structure and installations is complete. While overall infrastructure have been reduced, the European Command is faced with increased demands on quality of life facilities and the need for changing, modernizing, or increasing operational facility requirements. Obsolete WWII-era utilities do not match modern loads and require frequent and costly repair and maintenance. Outdated electrical, heating, sanitary and water distribution systems are endemic throughout the European Command. And, safety and environmental concerns exist at older facilities that are in need of revitalization and modernization.

Deplorable family housing conditions exist and require immediate attention. The majority of these units have never been renovated since they were built in the 1950s. Of the 35,885 family housing units in the inventory, 29,764 or 83% of the total inventory is in need of renovation at an estimated cost of over \$3,000,000,000. The Command's unaccompanied personnel housing inventory is 37,624 spaces with 15,540, or 41%, classified as sub-standard and still serviced by gang latrines, and 21,390, or 58%, in need of renovation.

Now that a stable European force structure is in place, and facing frequent deployments, it is imperative to reinvest in quality of life and operational facilities, both essential components of readiness, in Europe. While the Committee realizes the benefits of the Payment-in-Kind and residual value programs, it strongly believes these must be supplemented with traditional military construction and family housing funds. Funding for improvements in Europe must be made in order not to deprive our service members and their families of decent living and working conditions.

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

In future budget submissions, the Department is directed to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past two years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177) as amended by the Bal-

anced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987, (Public Law 100-119), the term “Program, Project and Activity” will continue to be defined as the appropriation account.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Fiscal year 1996:—	
Appropriation	\$633,814,000—
Rescission	— 6,385,000—
Net	627,429,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	434,723,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	603,584,000
Comparison with:—	
Fiscal year 1996 net appropriation	— 23,845,000—
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+168,861,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$603,584,000 for Military Construction, Army for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$168,861,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and a decrease of \$23,845,000 below the net appropriation for 1996.

CALIFORNIA—BARSTOW-DAGGETT: HELIPORT

The Committee recommends \$7,000,000 to complete funding of a heliport for the National Training Center at Fort Irwin, California, to be located at Barstow-Daggett, California. Additional funds for this project are available from prior year unobligated appropriations. The Committee notes that section 2105 of the Defense Authorization Act of 1997 (H.R. 3230, as passed the House on May 15, 1996) corrects the authorized uses of funds appropriated for fiscal years 1995 and 1996 for construction of an air field at Barstow-Daggett, in order to permit the use of such amounts for the construction of a heliport facility at the same location for maintenance and repair of equipment assigned to the National Training Center and Fort Irwin. The Committee concurs with this correction, and directs that fiscal year 1994 planning and design funds in the amount of \$2,400,000, as well as fiscal year 1995 funds in the amount of \$10,000,000 and fiscal year 1996 funds in the amount of \$10,000,000 shall be available for construction of this heliport in lieu of the air field. No reprogramming request is required to accomplish the execution of this project.

MILITARY TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT COMMAND

RELOCATIONS

The 1995 Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC IV) recommended closing the Bayonne, New Jersey Military Ocean Terminal and relocating the Military Traffic Management Command Eastern Area Command Headquarters and the traffic management portion of the 1301st Major Port Command “to a location to be determined”. The Commission’s report also recommended closing the Oakland, California Army Base and relocating the Military Traffic Management Command Western Area Command Headquarters and the 1302nd Major Port Command “to locations to be determined”. No notification has been submitted to Congress regarding the plans for these relocations. Therefore the Army is directed to report to the Committee on the current status of these relocations, options considered for receiving locations, the approximate number

of civilian and military personnel to be transferred, the military construction requirement (if any), and the timetable for relocation and closure. This report is to be submitted not later than January 1, 1997.

EUROPEAN CONSTRUCTION

The Secretary of the Army is directed to report to the Committee on Appropriations by January 1, 1997 on the overall condition of Army facilities and family housing in Europe. This report is to contain a thorough review of the needs, along with what construction is necessary to rectify the deplorable existing conditions. The Committee has recommended \$44,800,000 for two barracks projects, three family housing improvement projects and one child development center. It is imperative that the Army begin to program and budget for the necessary projects in fiscal year 1998 to begin to address this deficiency.

SOUTHERN COMMAND

The Panama Canal Treaty of 1977 requires the withdrawal of United States military forces from Panama by December 31, 1999, including the relocation of the Southern Command (SOUTHCOM). The Army plans to relocate SOUTHCOM headquarters to the Miami, Florida area with personnel movements occurring from March to October 1997, and the main body of the headquarters personnel moving in September of 1997. This entire SOUTHCOM headquarters relocation will be accomplished by lease, with no military construction appropriations requirement.

The Committee directs the Army to report by January 1, 1997, on the book value of all facilities returned to the Government of Panama through September 30, 1996.

In addition, the Committee directs the Army to report on the status of negotiations toward a base rights agreement and a Status of Forces Agreement that will take effect on January 1, 2000. This report is to be submitted by January 1, 1997, and semi-annually thereafter.

KOREA

The Army's "Headquarters, Real Property Planning and Analysis" system shows a total facilities deficit of \$5,600,000,000 in Korea, of which \$721,700,000 is for barracks. The Committee directs the Army to report on this finding in some detail, together with the plan for correcting this deficiency through a combination of military construction funding, host nation funding via the Combined Defense Improvement Program (CDIP) and the Republic of Korea Funded Construction (ROKFC) program, and other approaches. This report is to be submitted by January 1, 1997.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS)

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	\$554,636,000
Rescission	- 6,385,000
Net	548,251,000

Fiscal year 1997 estimate	525,346,000
Committee recommendation in the bill:	
Appropriation	724,476,000
Rescissions	- 12,000,000
Net	712,476,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 net appropriation	+164,225,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+187,130,000

The Committee recommends a net total of \$712,476,000 for Military Construction, Navy for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$187,130,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and an increase of \$164,225,000 above the net appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

RESCISSIONS

The budget request included a general reduction to the fiscal year 1997 Navy Military Construction account of \$12,000,000. The Committee has denied this general reduction and has recommended rescissions totaling \$12,000,000 resulting from contract savings or previously approved projects which are no longer required. The following projects are the sources for the rescissions:

Fiscal Year 1992:	
Iceland-NAS Keflavik: Fuel Facilities (Phase VII)	\$6,900,000
Fiscal Year 1993:	
Virginia-Naval Supply Center, Norfolk: Cold Storage Warehouse	2,800,000
Fiscal Year 1994:	
Pennsylvania-Naval Shipyard Philadelphia: Asbestos Removal Facility	2,300,000

POLLUTION ABATEMENT PROJECTS

The Navy is directed to provide individual 1391 budget justification documents for each future pollution abatement project.

NEW JERSEY—NWS EARLE: EXPLOSIVE TRUCK HOLDING YARD AND PIER 4 EXTENSION

The Committee approves the reprogramming request dated March 28, 1996 in the amount of \$2,300,000 for the Explosive Truck Holding Yard at Earle NWS, New Jersey. This project provides a safe, well-lit, consolidated controlled access facility for 60 ordnance loaded trucks, the minimum essential for loading a U.S. AOE or AE during normal peace time operations. Funding of \$1,290,000 was originally included in the fiscal year 1994 appropriations bill.

The Committee understands that an extension to pier 4 is necessary at the Naval Weapons Station, Earle, New Jersey. The Navy is to report to the Committee on the need for this extension and its plans, including possible NATO funding, for construction by September 16, 1996.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	\$587,234,000
Rescissions	- 15,150,000
Net	572,084,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	603,059,000

Committee recommendation in the bill	678,914,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 net appropriation	+106,830,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+75,855,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$678,914,000 for Military Construction, Air Force for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$75,855,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and an increase of \$106,830,000 above the net appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

CALIFORNIA—MCCLELLAN AFB: FLOOD CONTROL MEASURES

The budget request includes \$8,795,000 for flood control measures at McClellan AFB, California. The Committee notes that both the House National Security Committee and the Senate Armed Services Committee have denied authorization for this project. Therefore, appropriations for this purpose are not recommended in this bill.

OKLAHOMA—VANCE AIR FORCE BASE

The Committee is aware of several needs at Vance Air Force Base, Oklahoma, including a Base Engineering Complex, alterations to the Physical Fitness Center and alterations to the Consolidated Logistics Complex. The Air Force is to report to the Committee on the need for these projects and its plans for construction by September 16, 1996.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 1996:	
Appropriation	\$640,357,000
Rescissions	-41,866,000
Net	598,491,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	812,945,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	772,345,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 net appropriation	+173,854,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	-40,600,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$772,345,000 for Military Construction, Defense-wide for fiscal year 1997. This is a decrease of \$40,600,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1997 and an increase of \$173,854,000 above the net appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

PHASE-FUNDED PROJECT

MARYLAND—FOREST GLEN (WRAIR): ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH, PHASE IV

The budget request includes \$92,000,000 as the full and final phase of funding for the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR) at Forest Glen, Maryland. However, the amount of construction that can be executed during fiscal year 1997 totals \$72,000,000. It is the Committee's long standing policy to limit annual appropriations for military construction projects to the amount of construction that can be executed during a single fiscal year. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$72,000,000 for this on-going work for fiscal year 1997, which is a reduction of

\$20,000,000 from the budget request. This reduction will have no effect on the pace of construction and will not delay the completion date for this project. The Department of Defense is directed to include the appropriate amount for this project in the fiscal year 1998 budget request.

MARYLAND—FORT MEADE: FRIENDSHIP ANNEX III PURCHASE

The Friendship Annex III building (FANX III) was built to suit the National Security Agency's special purpose needs. The building contains approximately 420,000 square feet and has been under lease since 1970. The current terms of the lease, which was renegotiated in 1991, contain the option to purchase the building at a fixed price of \$25,200,000 during the period of September 1, 1997 through March 1, 1998, and this amount is included in the budget request.

The Committee is concerned that the National Security Agency expended \$32,971,000 to renovate FANX III in advance of the purchase of the building. This renovation was included in the cost of the lease, which is funded as an operation and maintenance expense, rather than as a military construction expense. Had the Agency undertaken the renovation and acquisition of FANX III as a lease-purchase arrangement, or as a straightforward purchase of an existing building, regular procedure would have required prior notice to (and approval of) Congress. As structured by the Agency, the transaction avoided all Congressional approval and virtually all Congressional notification requirements. An acquisition report covering the lease renegotiation was submitted to Congress on August 30, 1991, but it did not identify the cost of renovation, nor did it reflect that the improvements were to be paid for as they were made, as opposed to being amortized over the expected 25-year life of the lease.

No specific statutory authority existed for the renovation of FANX III. The lease renegotiation was executed in 1991, during a period of historically significant Department of Defense downsizing, with two rounds of base closures yet to be conducted in 1993 and 1995. Excess facilities were being disposed of throughout the country, and this process continues.

The Committee is in the process of conducting a thorough investigation of the National Security Agency's facilities requirements. Pending the results of this investigation, the Committee recommends denying funds in the amount of \$25,200,000 for the purchase of FANX III.

OHIO—DEFENSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY CENTER (COLUMBUS):
CONSTRUCT ENTRANCE ROADWAY (DBOF)

The budget request includes \$600,000 to construct an entrance roadway at the Defense Construction Supply Center in Columbus, Ohio. This project is required due to a substantial increase in the number and concentration of personnel at the Center. It is the Committee's view that this work should have been included in the cost of either the Columbus Operations Center project or the DFAS Operations Facility project, because these personnel create the requirement. The Committee reluctantly recommends providing for

this work to be accomplished as a separate military construction project, as requested.

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The budget request includes \$9,500,000 for the contingency construction account, which provides for urgent unforeseen military facilities requirements as authorized by 10 USC 2804. This account funded a single project in fiscal year 1992, no projects in fiscal years 1993 through 1995, and a single project to date in fiscal year 1996. Unobligated balances of prior years' appropriations totaling \$9,741,000 remain available for contingency construction. Therefore, the Committee recommends \$4,500,000 for this account for fiscal year 1997, which is a reduction of \$5,000,000 from the budget request.

DOMESTIC DEPENDENT ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS

The budget request does not include funds for projects in support of the Domestic Dependent Elementary and Secondary Schools (formerly known as "Section 6" schools), despite requirements totaling \$125,501,000. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to report by January 1, 1997 on this construction requirement, including a prioritized list of projects (by location) to correct deficiencies, and encourages inclusion of such projects in the budget request for fiscal year 1998.

OVERSEAS ELEMENTARY, JUNIOR HIGH, AND HIGH SCHOOLS

The budget request does not include funds for projects in support of the 172 overseas schools operated by the Department of Defense Education Activity. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to report by January 1, 1997 on construction requirements in support of these schools, including a prioritized list of projects (by location) to correct deficiencies, and encourages inclusion of such projects in the budget request for fiscal year 1998.

DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE

The Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) is in the process of consolidating its activities into five regional centers and 21 operating locations. This effort includes a major construction project to provide office and support space for the Columbus, Ohio Center and the Financial Systems Activity, Columbus, Ohio. This project is being phase funded in three increments, as follows:

<i>Fiscal Year</i>	<i>In millions of dollars</i>
1996	\$37.4
1997	20.8
1998	14.2
Total	72.4

In addition, DFAS has programmed the following military construction projects at operating locations:

<i>Fiscal year and location</i>	<i>In millions of dollars</i>
1997—Norton AFB/San Bernardino, CA	\$13.8
1997—Orlando, FL	2.6
1997—Rock Island, IL	14.4
1997—Loring AFB/Limestone, ME	6.9
1997—Offutt AFB/Omaha, NE	7.0

<i>Fiscal year and location</i>	<i>In millions of dollars</i>
1997—Griffiss AFB/Rome, NY	10.2
1997—Gentile AFB/Dayton, OH	11.4
1997—Charleston, SC	6.2
Subtotal (including Columbus, OH)	93.3
1998—Honolulu, HI	11.2
1998—Columbus, OH	14.2
1998—Memphis, TN	5.8
1998—Norfolk, VA	13.1
Subtotal	44.3
1999—Fort Ord, CA	20.0
1999—Chanute AFB, IL	10.6
1999—Lexington, KY	8.6
1999—Fort Sill, OK	12.8
Subtotal	52.0
Total	189.6

The Committee notes that a number of these projects are located at closing military installations, and constitute renovations of old facilities for new purposes, reutilizing federally owned assets without impacting the spirit and letter of base closure requirements.

The Committee also notes that upon completion of this three-year program, all military construction requirements of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service will have been met. The Committee recommends approval of the requested projects for fiscal year 1997.

DEFENSE FUELS SUPPLY CENTER

The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) has been assigned the responsibility for programming the military construction requirements related to serving as the designated bulk petroleum manager for the Department of Defense. In fiscal year 1997, such projects consume 84 percent of DLA's project, and only two non-fuels projects are requested by DLA. The Committee is concerned that no budgetary resources were transferred from the other components of the Department to DLA at the time of the assignment of this responsibility, and that other components realized a windfall at DLA's expense. The Department is urged to review this situation in order to assure that depot/storage facilities and operational facilities of DLA are not neglected.

ITALY—SIGONELLA NAS: EXTEND HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM

SPAIN—MORON AB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM

The budget request includes \$6,100,000 for a fuels project at Sigonella Naval Air Station, Italy, and \$12,958,000 for a fuels project at Moron Air Base, Spain. The Committee recommends approving these projects, contingent upon the submission of precautionary prefinancing statements to NATO for reimbursement of these expenses. The Committee strongly supports the development of a NATO capabilities package for Strategic Refueling in order to secure routine NATO financing of such projects.

ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (ECIP)

The Energy Conservation Improvement Program (ECIP) provides financing for individual projects that are evaluated, prioritized on the basis of technical merit and return on investment, and presented individually to Congress for approval. The budget request includes \$47,765,000 as the level of effort for this program for fiscal year 1997. The primary benefits of the program include improved facility conditions, reduced environmental pollution, and utility and maintenance cost reduction.

The Department is governed by two directives, the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-486) and Executive Order 12902. These directives require the Department to identify and accomplish all energy conservation measures that pay back in ten years or less. The Department has surveyed its physical plant and has identified approximately \$4 billion of needed investment to accomplish all identified conservation measures. There are several means available for performing this work. Some is done in conjunction with on-going repair and replacement programs, some through utility company investments, some through energy performance contracts, some through operations and maintenance projects and individual Service energy programs, and some through the Energy Conservation Improvement Program (ECIP). Generally, ECIP is reserved for larger projects which deserve closer Departmental scrutiny. Such items have high savings-to-investment ratios, and also include a small number of projects that support other goals of the Energy Policy Act such as renewable energy and water conservation.

The Committee notes that the Department currently uses 12.4 percent less energy per square foot of occupied buildings than it did in 1985, saving over \$380 million in utility bills in 1995. The Committee believes that this is a sound return on investment, and recommends full funding of the requested level of \$47,765,000 for this program. The Committee will expect that each individual project will continue to be supported with an economic analysis to show the savings-to-investment ratio and the simple payback, and that life-cycle cost analyses will continue to be performed as projects are modified by additional information and design detail. Most importantly, the Committee will expect the Department to give great consideration to further reduction in the payback period, especially in such difficult to quantify areas as renewable energy, water conservation, emerging technologies, and contribution to environmental pollution prevention.

The Committee is aware of the Department's efforts to develop a multi-media energy manager's training program in partnership with a larger private consortium. The Committee supports the use of appropriated funds to improve the level of technical and programmatic knowledge of Defense energy managers, as well as design engineers and architects, and the leveraging of Federal funds through participation in public/private partnerships such as this. Therefore, the Committee will expect the Department to continue to contribute such sums as may be required for the on-going computer-based energy manager training software package in order to complete this work.

CHEMICAL WEAPONS DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

The budget request includes a total of \$131,621,000 for the following funding increments for the chemical weapons demilitarization program for fiscal year 1997:

Arkansas:	
Pine Bluff Arsenal	
Ammunition demilitarization facility, Phase II	\$46,000,000
Colorado:	
Pueblo Depot Activity	
Ammunition demilitarization facility, Phase I	17,497,000
Oregon:	
Umatilla Depot Activity	
Ammunition demilitarization facility, Phase II	64,000,000
Unspecified Worldwide Location:	
Planning and Design	¹ 4,124,000
Total	131,621,000

¹ In addition to this amount, prior year unobligated funds totaling \$6,876,000 will be used to fund fiscal year 1997 planning and design requirements.

The Committee takes note that this budget request is limited to the amount of construction that can be put in place during fiscal year 1997, and therefore recommends fully funding the request.

The following chart displays the scope of the military construction investment in the overall chemical demilitarization program:

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS

[In millions of dollars, fiscal year]

Location	1995 & Prior	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Johnston Atoll	\$50.0	\$50.0
Chemical Demil Training Facility, Aberdeen, MD	16.1	16.1
Tooele Facilities, UT	198.0	198.0
Anniston Facilities, AL	164.3	164.3
Umatilla Facility, OR	12.0	\$64.0	\$92.0	\$20.0	188.0
Umatilla Depot Support, OR	11.1	11.1
Pine Bluff Facility, AR	3.0	46.0	61.0	8.0	118.0
Pine Bluff Depot Support, AR	15.0	15.0
Pueblo Facility, CO	17.5	60.0	97.5	175.0
Pueblo Depot Support, CO	6.3	6.3
Blue Grass Facility, KY	48.7	100.0	\$33.3	182.0
Blue Grass Depot Support, KY	7.8	7.8
Aberdeen Facility, MD	45.5	50.0	\$23.5	119.0
Aberdeen Depot Support, MD	9.0	9.0
Newport Facility, IN	30.1	43.5	73.6
Newport Depot Support, IN	2.1	2.1
Planning and Design	\$13.0	4.1	9.7	4.7	2.0	33.5
Total	475.8	13.0	131.6	288.2	277.8	115.4	67.0	1,368.8

The following chart displays the timetable and the milestones for completion of the chemical demilitarization program:

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM TIMETABLE AND MILESTONES

[In fiscal years]—

Location	Start of Construction	Start of Systemization	Operations
Johnson Atoll	3rd Qtr 90—1st Qtr 00
Tooele, UT	4th Qtr 89	4th Qtr 93	3rd Qtr 96—3rd Qtr 03

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM TIMETABLE AND MILESTONES—Continued

[In fiscal years]—

Location	Start of Construction	Start of Systemization	Operations
Anniston, AL	4th Qtr 96	3rd Qtr 99	2nd Qtr 01–1st Qtr 05
Umatilla, OR	4th Qtr 96	4th Qtr 99	3rd Qtr 01–4th Qtr 04
Pine Bluff, AR	4th Qtr 96	3rd Qtr 99	2nd Qtr 01–3rd Qtr 04
Pueblo, CO	3rd Qtr 97	2nd Qtr 00	1st Qtr 02–3rd Qtr 04
Blue Grass, KY	2nd Qtr 98	1st Qtr 01	4th Qtr 02–3rd Qtr 04
Aberdeen, MD	2nd Qtr 99	3rd Qtr 01	1st Qtr 03–3rd Qtr 03
Newport, IN	2nd Qtr 00	3rd Qtr 02	1st Qtr 04–3rd Qtr 04

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE: ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Statutory language included under this account provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from this account to the military construction and family housing accounts. The Committee directs that any exercise of this authority must fall under the Committee's standing procedures for approval of reprogramming requests.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	0
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	0
Committee recommendation in the bill	\$10,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	+10,000,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+10,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$10,000,000 for the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$10,000,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and an increase of \$10,000,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

The Committee has not approved the request for a new general provision allowing transfer of funds into this account, and in lieu thereof has provided an initial appropriation of \$10,000,000 and transfer authority within the appropriation paragraph.

OVERVIEW

The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1996, P.L. 104–106, established new authorities to increase the use of the private sector and capital to improve unaccompanied housing. The new authorities include: direct loans and loan guarantees to private developers; leasing of new housing; investments in nongovernmental entities; rental guarantees; differential lease payments and conveyance or lease of existing property and facilities.

The Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund will be used to build or renovate unaccompanied housing, mixing or matching the various authorities contained in the authorization, and utilize private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. This fund is to contain appropriated and transferred funds from military construction accounts, and the total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not exceed \$150,000,000. Sources for transfers into the funds are solely

to be derived from funds appropriated for the acquisition or construction of military unaccompanied housing. Transfers into the fund are authorized contingent upon a 30-day notification by the Secretary of Defense to the appropriate committees of Congress. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are to be deposited into this Fund, and any use of the Fund is subject to annual appropriations. The Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund is to be administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations and the authority to enter into contracts and partnerships and to make investments shall expire on September 30, 2000.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee reiterates the reporting requirements contained in the enabling legislation. The Service Secretary concerned may not enter into any contract until after the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date the Secretary concerned submits written notice of the nature and terms of the contract to the appropriate Committees of Congress.

In the future, budget justification documents are to display project and administrative costs. No transfer of appropriated funds into the account may take place until after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice and justification for the transfer to the appropriate Committees of Congress. The Appropriations Committee expects to receive prior notification of all such transfers of funds.

In addition, the Department is to report to the Committee on Appropriations, within sixty days after enactment of this Act, its framework for leveraging these resources.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Fiscal year 1996:		
Appropriation		\$436,647,000
Rescission		– 6,700,000
Net		429,947,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate		194,091,000
Committee recommendation in the bill		294,693,000
Comparison with:		
Fiscal year 1996 net appropriation		– 135,254,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate		+100,602,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$294,693,000 for Military Construction, Reserve Components for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$100,602,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and a decrease of \$135,254,000 below the net appropriation for 1996.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve Component is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of Military Construction, as follows:

Component	Request	Recommended
Army National Guard	\$7,600,000 –	\$41,316,000
Air National Guard–	75,394,000 –	118,394,000
Army Reserve	48,459,000	50,159,000
Naval Reserve	10,983,000	33,169,000

Component	Request	Recommended
Air Force Reserve—	51,655,000	51,655,000
Total	194,091,000	294,693,000

CONNECTICUT—GROTON: BUILDING CODES AND FIRE SUPPRESSION

Funds were authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 1995 for additions and alterations to the aviation repair depot at Groton, Connecticut. The Committee understands that this project requires an additional \$5,647,000 due to changes in building codes and fire suppression requirements. The Committee directs the Army National Guard to submit the appropriate scope and cost variation reports to the appropriate committees in order to carry out the necessary changes to this project.

ILLINOIS—DECATUR: HELICOPTER LANDING PADS AND TAXILANES

The Committee directs the Army National Guard to execute a project to provide helicopter landing pads and taxilanes at the Decatur, Illinois Army Aviation Support Facility using funds available for unspecified minor construction. The estimated cost of this project is \$575,000.

MISSISSIPPI—VARIOUS LOCATIONS, SOUTH MISSISSIPPI: BEDDOWN AVENGER SYSTEM

The National Guard Bureau directed a reorganization of units in South Mississippi from Field Artillery to Air Defense Artillery (the Avenger air defense system). This reorganization created the need for additions, alterations, and new construction at several locations. The fiscal year 1994 appropriations act included \$5,204,000 for a project to accomplish this work. An unfavorable bidding climate and changes in scope requirements would necessitate overruns at the full scope of work. Contracts have been awarded at reduced scope, with additives totaling \$5,464,000. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit the appropriate scope and cost variation reports to the appropriate committees in order to accomplish the full scope of work expeditiously.

VIRGINIA—RICHLANDS: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP

The Committee is aware that additional funds will be required to complete the construction of the Organizational Maintenance Shop at Richlands, Virginia. The Army National Guard is encouraged to submit a reprogramming request to complete work on this project.

REGIONAL EDUCATION

The Committee directs the Army National Guard to review the facilities needs for the Combat Arms Training Brigade for Region C to carry out its assigned regional educational missions, and to report by January 1, 1997 on a cost-effective proposal for meeting these mission requirements.

UNOBLIGATED BALANCES

The Committee is very concerned over the continuing poor execution rates for the military construction programs of the Reserve Components. According to the appendices to the budget requests for fiscal years 1996 and 1997, the following amounts will remain unobligated at the end of each fiscal year:

[In millions of dollars]

Component	1994 actual—	1995 actual—	1996 estimate—	1997 estimate
Army National Guard—	\$366—	\$277—	\$232—	\$100
Air National Guard—	238—	218—	221—	168
Army Reserve—	121—	85—	50—	28
Naval Reserve—	60—	28—	17—	7
Air Force Reserve—	43—	33—	28—	31
Total—	828—	641—	548—	334

The Committee understands that these amounts remain available for completion of prior year approved budget plans, that funds remain available for five years, and that the Reserve Components face a number of difficult challenges in program execution. However, the Committee will expect to see increased attention given to assure that contracts are awarded during the fiscal year in which funds are provided. The Committee intends to follow closely the Annual Report on Design and Construction Progress and the reinstated semi-annual submission of Audit Trail Documents in order to track improvement in program execution.—

UNAWARDED ARMORY PROJECTS

The Army National Guard has not yet awarded twenty-three armory projects (or armory projects combined with other facilities, such as organizational maintenance shops or reserve centers) for which funds were appropriated for fiscal years 1992 through 1995. Due to this backlog of unawarded projects, the Committee recommended no new armory construction in fiscal year 1996, and again recommends no such projects for fiscal year 1997. The unawarded projects are at the following locations and in the following amounts, according to information available in Department of Defense accounting reports as of April, 1996 (the most recent information available):

Fiscal year, State and location	Amount appropriated	Current working estimate
1992, OH, Toledo	\$3,183,000	\$3,820,000
1993, PA, Indiana	1,700,000	1,391,000
1993, OR, Le Grande	3,049,000	3,049,000
1993, FL, Craig Field (Jacksonville)	1,480,000	1,192,000
1993, AL, Union Springs	800,000	800,000
1993, AL, Tuscaloosa	2,273,000	2,273,000
1994, TN, Sevierville	1,352,000	1,103,000
1994, PA, Johnstown	3,309,000	3,143,000
1994, IN, Evansville	6,050,000	6,050,000
1994, CA, Van Nuys	6,518,000	6,518,000
1995, WY, Torrington	5,300,000	5,300,000
1995, TN, Rogersville	1,820,000	1,820,000
1995, TN, Springfield	1,115,000	1,102,000
1995, TN, Chattanooga	1,604,000	1,602,000
1995, TN, Johnson City	6,019,000	6,019,000

Fiscal year, State and location	Amount appropriated	Current working estimate
1995, TN, Linden	1,097,000	1,097,000
1995, PA, Westmoreland County	3,594,000	3,548,000
1995, OH, Ravenna	4,500,000	4,500,000
1995, PA, Armstrong County	1,982,000	1,982,000
1995, NV, Washoe County	5,520,000	5,520,000
1995, ME, Augusta	3,900,000	3,900,000
1995, MA, Taunton	2,900,000	2,900,000
1995, CO, Denver	5,000,000	4,772,000
Total	74,065,000	73,401,000

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Fiscal year 1996:		
Appropriation		\$161,000,000
Supplemental Appropriation		+37,500,000
Net		198,500,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate		197,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill		177,000,000
Comparison with:		
Fiscal year 1996 net appropriation		- 21,500,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate		- 20,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$177,000,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. This is a decrease of \$20,000,000 below the budget request for fiscal year 1997 and a decrease of \$21,500,000 below the net appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

For 1997, the NATO nations have agreed on a funding level of approximately \$890,700,000. Of this amount, the U.S. requirement is based on a cost share which averages about 26%. In addition to the recommended appropriation of \$177,000,000, approximately \$11,000,000 is expected to be available from recoupments from prior year U.S. funded work, and from deobligation of NATO funds for previously obligated projects that were reduced in scope or canceled.

The Committee reiterates the directive contained in House Report 104-137. The Department of Defense is directed to report to the Committees on Appropriations, on a quarterly basis, the following information:

- (1) NATO nations share of construction costs based on fund authorizations;
- (2) NATO nations shares of procurement costs based on fund authorizations; and
- (3) A listing of all obligations incurred that quarter broken out by infrastructure category and procurement category. This listing should show the total project costs, the U.S. cost share and all other NATO nations cost shares.

FAMILY HOUSING

OVERVIEW

The need for military family housing has changed with the all-volunteer structure of the force. In the mid-1950s forty-two percent of the force was married, compared to sixty-one percent today. The

percentage of service members with families will continue to grow, and the nature of an all-volunteer force implies greater expectations for the availability, size and amenities of family housing. At the same time, the Department is faced with a changing military environment due to overseas reductions, domestic base closures, major force reductions, and increased deployments.

Today, the family housing program is even more important because it provides a quality of life incentive which attracts and retains dedicated individuals to serve in the military. However, the housing deficiencies are a severe disincentive to reenlistment. Testimony before the Committee states that it costs over \$26,000 to recruit and train an enlisted soldier for the first assignment. This investment is lost each time a soldier must be replaced. The Committee has no question that housing is directly linked to readiness, morale and retention.

While this Committee has focused on the need for adequate family housing over the years, resources have been scarce. This problem has recently been brought to the forefront with several articles in the press and an increased focus by the Department of Defense. The family housing crisis exists today due to the majority of housing in the Department's inventory being substandard; high cost areas where housing deficits exist; and problems young families are facing who cannot afford to live in local communities.

DOD policy is that married couples will live off-base when the economy can support them, and about two-thirds, or 614,928 families, reside off-base. Where there is sufficient affordable housing in the community and commuting distances are not over one hour, most of these families are doing well. However, 12 percent of military families living in civilian communities are in substandard housing. This is often the case when rents are excessive or a family can only afford to live in distant, isolated, and sometimes unsafe neighborhoods. This is occurring more often because housing allowances are covering only 75 percent of the cost of civilian housing, on average. Many younger families only have one car and are faced with driving distances of over an hour to the installation. In some instances, families are choosing to remain separated simply because suitable, affordable housing is not available at a new assignment.

The Department of Defense has a total of 350,799 on-base housing units in its inventory, with an average age of 33 years. Two-thirds of the inventory is over 30 years old and requires a substantial annual investment to meet maintenance requirements. Over the years, the majority of these homes have gone without adequate maintenance and repair. And over fifty percent of the inventory, or 184,295 units, is in need of major improvements or replacement at a total cost of \$16,591,388,000.

Unsuitable units require a major investment in maintenance and repair to correct deteriorated infrastructure, provide basic living standards and meet contemporary code requirements for electrical and mechanical systems, and for energy efficiency. Examples provided to the Committee of a typical scenario military families face include: severe health and safety deficiencies such as electrical systems and water pipes needing replacement; non-working or inefficient heating and cooling systems; nails coming through the ceil-

ings and floors; kitchen cabinets water-logged and sinking; ceiling and wall paint chipped and peeling; screens with holes in them; doors coming apart; malfunctioning smoke detectors; light fixtures broken, and stoves and ovens with elements not working. The current backlog of deferred maintenance and repair totals in excess of \$4,565,000,000. When housing units are not adequately maintained, eventually they must be closed and abandoned or demolished. Families who could have been housed in these units must then live off-base. In turn, this creates an additional expense for payment of housing allowances.

Aside from the problems confronting the current inventory, the Department estimates a new construction deficit of 48,428 units at a cost of \$6,126,032,000. The Secretary of Defense proposed, and Congress has approved, a plan for a private sector initiative which is discussed later in this report. The Committee is hopeful this initiative will be successful and help to resolve the new construction deficit in a timely manner.

It will be necessary to use many different approaches to help meet the current family housing need. The challenge is for a sustained overall commitment, at funding levels that will reduce the backlog of inadequate houses, reduce the housing deficits, and increase the quality of living conditions in a reasonable period of time. The Department estimates it will take over \$27,000,000,000 to correct the existing problem.

The following chart provides a Service breakout of the current family housing deficit, both in units and in cost of new construction, replacement, improvements and deferred maintenance and repair:

DEFICITS (CURRENT PROJECTIONS)

[In thousand of dollars]

	New construction	Replace	Improve	Deferre maintenance and repair end FY 96	Grand total
Army:					
Number of Units	4,415	31,200	46,800	82,415
Costs—	\$596,602—	\$4,212,000—	\$3,042,000—	\$621,000—	\$8,471,602
Navy:					
Number of Units—	14,700—	6,400—	26,400—	—	47,500
Costs	\$1,930,000—	\$953,200—	\$1,812,400—	\$2,400,000—	\$7,095,000
Air Force:					
Number of Units—	18,000—	20,600—	44,000—	82,600
Costs—	\$2,160,000—	\$2,472,000—	\$3,520,000—	\$944,000—	\$9,096,000—
Marine Corps:					
Number of Units—	11,313—	697—	8,198—	—	20,208
Costs—	\$1,439,430—	\$164,821—	\$414,967—	\$600,000—	\$2,619,218
Total:					
Number of Units—	48,428—	58,897—	125,398—	—	232,723
Costs—	\$6,126,032—	\$7,802,021—	\$8,789,367—	\$4,565,000—	\$27,282,420

CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW

The Committee is concerned over the fiscal year 1997 budget request for family housing new construction, construction improvements and planning of \$714,346,000. The Secretary of Defense has made housing one of his main priorities, yet the Department's budget represents a reduction of \$228,878,000, or 24%, from the fis-

cal year 1996 appropriation for new construction and construction improvements. The Committee strongly believes it is imperative that construction funding levels must be maintained, along with any privatization efforts, to help resolve the serious family housing deficits. Therefore, the Committee recommends total funding of \$1,017,498,000 for family housing construction for fiscal year 1997. This represents an increase of \$74,274,000 over the fiscal year 1996 appropriation and an increase of \$303,152,000 over the budget request.

NEW HOUSING CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 1997 request is \$369,087,000 to build 2,297 units of new family housing for all Services. This is \$97,927,000 or 21 percent, under the fiscal year 1996 enacted level. The Committee has approved all requested projects for new housing construction. In addition, the Committee has recommended an additional \$159,702,000 to build 1,426 units of new family housing. The total appropriation for new construction is \$528,789,000 and will provide 3,723 new units. Details of the Committee's recommendations for new construction are provided in this report under the individual component accounts. The Committee expects that none of the approved projects will be reduced in scope.

It is the understanding of the Committee, that upon a 30-day notification from the Secretary of Defense, and approval of the Committee, funds appropriated for a new construction project may be transferred to the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for the purpose of a private sector pilot project at the same location.

The Committee will consider reprogramming requests for any authorized new construction project for which funds have not been appropriated in prior years.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

A total of \$309,654,000 has been requested for post-acquisition construction for all services to improve 4,075 housing units. This is a decrease of \$131,177,000, or 30 percent, from the fiscal year 1996 enacted level. Post-acquisition construction is focused on modernizing existing units that are uneconomical to repair. The Committee recommends full funding of the request. In addition, the Committee has provided an additional \$143,450,000 for construction improvement projects which are listed in this report under the individual component accounts, to improve an additional 2,251 units. The total appropriation for post-acquisition construction is \$453,104,000 and will improve 6,326 units of family housing.

It is the understanding of the Committee, that upon a 30-day notification from the Secretary of Defense, and approval of the Committee, funds appropriated for a construction improvement project may be transferred to the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for the purpose of a private sector pilot project at the same location.

The Committee continues the restriction on the amount invested in improving foreign source housing units. The three-year limitation on overseas units is \$35,000. If the components intend to program improvements to specific units which exceed \$35,000 over a period of three years, total funding should be requested in one

year. The justification for each unit should identify all improvements and major maintenance work done in the past three years, and all improvements and major maintenance planned in the following three years.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 1997 request for operation and maintenance expenses totals \$3,087,144,000, a decrease of \$176,461,000, from the fiscal year 1996 appropriation. These accounts provide for annual expenditures for maintenance and repair, furnishings, management, services, utilities, leasing, interest, mortgage insurance and miscellaneous expenses. Of the total request for operation and maintenance, \$1,463,752,000 is for maintenance and repair of existing housing, a reduction of \$112,331,000 from fiscal year 1996 levels.

While the Committee agrees with the reduction of \$64,130,000 to the majority of the accounts, it has serious reservations over the requested reduction of \$112,331,000 to the maintenance of real property accounts. Testimony from representatives of the Department verifies that this request is driven by budget constraints, not by actual need. Therefore, the Committee has recommended an additional \$100,000,000 for the maintenance real property accounts, distributed as follows: Army: \$45,000,000; Navy \$44,000,000; and Air Force \$11,000,000.

The total recommended appropriation for operation and maintenance is \$3,187,144,000. This represents an increase of \$100,000,000 above the budget request and a reduction of \$76,461,000 from the fiscal year 1996 enacted level.

Expenditures from this account for general and flag officer quarters are to be reported in accordance with the guidelines previously established and reiterated later in this report. The Committee also continues the direction that the details of all other expenditures from this account which exceed \$15,000 per unit, per year for major maintenance and repair of non-general and flag officer quarters be included as part of the justification material. The general provision limiting obligations from this account to no more than 20 percent of the total in the last two months of the fiscal year is included in this year's bill.

The Committee continues the restriction on the transfer of funds between the operation and maintenance accounts. The limitation is ten percent to all primary accounts and subaccounts. Such transfers are to be reported to the Committee within thirty days of such action.

GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER QUARTERS

The existing reporting requirements for general and flag officer quarters continue in full force and effect, in order to control expenditures for high cost quarters. The purpose of these requirements is to ensure that the total amount of all obligations for maintenance and repair (excluding operations) on each general or flag officer quarters is limited to \$25,000 per year, unless specifically included in the annual budget justification material. This continues the policy initiated in 1984 and developed and elaborated

over several years, to ensure that separate controls are established for orderly planning and programming to accomplish this work.

Recognizing the uncertainties involved in accurately forecasting "change in occupancy" work, the Committee continues the following previously established notification requirement. The Committee must be notified when maintenance and repair costs for a unit will exceed the amount submitted in the budget justification by 25 percent or \$5,000, whichever is less. The Committee must also be notified when maintenance and repair costs will exceed \$25,000 for a unit not requested in the budget justification.

Notifications of each proposed expenditure must be submitted over the signature of the Service Secretary for case-by-case review and approval. Each Service is directed to continue to limit out-of-cycle submissions to one per year, except for situations which are justified as emergencies or safety-related.

LEASING REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee continues the reporting requirement for both domestic and foreign leases. For domestic leases (not funded by the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund), the Department is directed to report quarterly on the details of all new or renewal domestic leases entered into during the previous quarter which exceed \$12,000 per unit per year, including certification that less expensive housing was not available for lease. For foreign leases, the Department is directed to: perform an economic analysis on all new leases or lease/contract agreements where more than 25 units are involved; report the details of any new or renewal lease exceeding \$20,000 per year (as adjusted for foreign currency fluctuation from October 1, 1987, but not adjusted for inflation), 21 days prior to entering into such an agreement; and base leasing decisions on the economic analysis.

EXCLUSION OF ASBESTOS AND LEAD-BASED PAINT REMOVAL FROM MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR LIMITS

The Committee continues the requirement of an after-the-fact notification where asbestos and/or lead-based paint removal costs cause the maintenance and repair thresholds of \$15,000 for a military family housing unit, or \$25,000 for a General or Flag Officer Quarters, to be exceeded. The notification shall include work, scope, cost break-out and other details pertinent to asbestos and/or lead-based paint removal work and shall be reported on a semi-annual basis.

REPROGRAMMING CRITERIA

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) also apply to new housing construction projects and to improvement projects over \$2,000,000.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$1,452,252,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	1,287,479,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,434,069,000

Comparison with:

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	- 18,183,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+146,590,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,434,069,000 for Family Housing, Army for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$146,590,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and a decrease of \$18,183,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$59,190,000 for new construction, instead of \$38,300,000, as requested, as shown below:

Location/Project—	Requested—	Recommended
Alabama: Redstone Arsenal (70 units)	0	\$8,000,000
Hawaii: Schofield Barracks (54 units)	\$10,000,000	10,000,000
North Carolina: Fort Bragg (88 units)	9,800,000	9,800,000
Pennsylvania: Tobyhanna AD (200 units)	0	890,000
Texas:		
Fort Bliss, Phase I (70 units)	0	12,000,000
Fort Hood (140 units)	18,500,000	18,500,000
Total	38,300,000	59,190,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the additional amount provided above the budget request for construction improvements:

Location/Project	Number of units—	Recommended
Alabama—Fort Rucker	256—	\$18,000,000
Kentucky—Fort Campbell	200	18,700,000
Louisiana—Fort Polk	250—	7,200,000
Oklahoma—Fort Sill	328	14,400,000
Pennsylvania—Tobyhanna AD	42—	2,300,000
Germany—Kefurt and Craig, Stuttgart	120—	7,300,000
Germany—Baumholder Family Village	64—	4,600,000
Germany—Ben Franklin Village, Mannheim	136—	8,200,000
Total	1,396	80,700,000

MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY

The budget request includes \$525,893,000 for maintenance of real property, a reduction of \$106,399,000 from the fiscal year 1996 appropriation. The Committee recommends an increase of \$45,000,000 providing a total of \$579,893,000.

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$1,573,387,000
Fiscal Year 1997 estimate	1,417,967,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,590,697,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	+17,310,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+172,730,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,590,697,000 for Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps for fiscal year 1997. This is an

increase of \$172,730,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and an increase of \$17,310,000 above the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1996.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$300,730,000 for new construction, instead of \$197,700,000, as requested, as shown below:

Location/Project	Requested—	Recommended
Arizona: MCAS Yuma (Community Center)	\$709,000—	\$709,000
California:		
Camp Pendleton (202 units)	19,483,000	29,483,000
Lemoore NAS (276 units)	39,837,000	39,837,000
San Diego PWC (466 units)	48,719,000	63,429,000
Twenty-Nine Palms (Community Center)	1,982,000	1,982,000
Twenty-Nine Palms (Housing Office)	956,000	956,000
Florida: Mayport NS (100 units)	0	10,000,000
Hawaii:		
Kaneohe Bay (54 units)	11,676,000	11,676,000
PWC Pearl Harbor (264 units)	52,586,000	52,586,000
Maine: Brunswick NAS Phase I (72 units)	0	10,925,000
Maryland: Patuxent River (Community Center)	1,233,000	1,233,000
North Carolina:		
Camp Lejeune (Community Center)	845,000	845,000
Camp Lejeune MCB (125 units)	0	13,360,000
South Carolina: Beaufort MCAS (200 units)	0	19,110,000
Texas:		
Corpus Christi Naval Complex (156 units)	0	17,425,000
Kingsville NAS Phase I (32 units)	0	7,550,000
Virginia:		
Wallops Island (20 units)	2,975,000	2,975,000
Northwest (Community Center)	741,000	741,000
Washington: Puget Sound (100 units)	15,015,000	15,015,000
Washington: Bangor (Housing Office)	934,000	934,000
Total	197,691,000	300,771,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the additional amount provided above the budget request for construction improvements:

Location/Project—	Number of units—	Recommended
Hawaii—Pearl Harbor PWC	54	\$6,650,000
Mississippi—Meridian NAS	160—	6,600,000
Texas—Fort Worth JRB	55	2,400,000
Washington—Whidbey Island NAS	150	10,000,000
Total	419	25,650,000

MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY

The budget request includes \$508,632,000 for maintenance of real property, a reduction of \$25,391,000 from the fiscal year 1996 appropriation. The Committee recommends an increase of \$44,000,000, providing a total of \$552,632,000.

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation \$1,146,951,000

Fiscal year 1997 estimate	1,060,710,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,144,542,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	- 2,409,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+83,832,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,144,542,000 for Family Housing, Air Force for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$83,832,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and a decrease of \$2,409,000 below the appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$168,828,000 for new construction, instead of \$133,096,000, as requested, as shown below:

Location/Project—	Requested—	Recommended
Alaska:		
Eielson AFB (Fire Station)	\$2,950,000	\$2,950,000
Eielson AFB (72 units)	21,127,000	21,127,000
California:		
Beale AFB (56 units)	8,893,000—	8,893,000
Los Angeles AFB (25 units)	0—	6,425,000
Travis AFB (70 units)	8,631,000—	8,631,000
Vandenberg AFB (112 units)	20,891,000	20,891,000
District of Columbia: Bolling AFB (40 units)	5,000,000—	5,000,000
Florida:		
Eglin AFB (Hurlbert Field)	249,000	249,000
MacDill AFB (56 units)	8,822,000	8,822,000
Patrick AFB (Housing Maintenance Facility)	853,000—	853,000
Patrick AFB (Housing Warehouse)	756,000	756,000
Patrick AFB (Replace Housing Office)	821,000—	821,000
Tyndall AFB (42 units)	0—	6,000,000
Georgia: Robins AFB (46 units)	0 —	5,252,000
Louisiana: Barksdale AFB (80 Units)	9,570,000—	9,570,000
Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB Phase III (32 units)	0—	5,100,000
Missouri: Whiteman AFB (68 units)	9,600,000—	9,600,000
Nevada: Nellis AFB Phase III (50 units)	0—	7,955,000
New Mexico: Kirtland AFB (50 units)	5,450,000—	5,450,000
North Dakota:		
Grand Forks AFB (66 units)	7,784,000—	7,784,000
Minot AFB (46 units)	8,740,000—	8,740,000
Texas:		
Lackland AFB (82 units)	6,500,000—	11,500,000
Lackland AFB (Replace Housing Office)	450,000—	450,000
Lackland AFB (Replace Maintenance Facility)	350,000—	350,000
Washington: McChord AFB (40 units)	5,659,000—	5,659,000
Total	133,096,000	168,828,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the additional amount provided above the request for construction improvements:

Location	Number of units	Recommended
Florida—Eglin AFB	112	\$8,600,000
Ohio—Wright-Patterson AFB	52—	6,000,000
Texas—Laughlin AFB	180—	15,000,000
Utah—Hill AFB	92—	7,500,000
Total	436	37,100,000

MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY

The budget request includes \$428,087,000 for maintenance of real property, an increase of \$19,116,000 from the fiscal year 1996 appropriation. The Committee recommends an increase of \$11,000,000, providing a total of \$439,087,000.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$34,239,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	35,334,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	35,334,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	+1,095,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$35,334,000 for Family Housing, Defense-wide for fiscal year 1997. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and an increase of \$1,095,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$22,000,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	20,000,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	35,000,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	+13,000,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	+15,000,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$35,000,000 for the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund for fiscal year 1997. This is an increase of \$15,000,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 1997, and an increase of \$13,000,000 above the appropriation for fiscal year 1996.

OVERVIEW

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (P.L. 104-106) addresses the family housing crisis by authorizing a five year private sector pilot project to replace or renovate approximately 200,000 units of family housing within the United States, its territories and possessions, and in Puerto Rico, but not overseas. New authority is granted to: guarantee mortgage payments and rental contracts to developers as incentives to build family housing; authorize commercial-style lease agreements for family housing; and engage in joint ventures with developers to construct family housing on government property.

The Family Housing Improvement Fund will be used to build or renovate family housing, mixing or matching various authorities in the authorization, and utilizing private capital and expertise to the maximum extent possible. The Fund is to contain appropriated and transferred funds from family housing construction accounts, and the total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken may not exceed \$850,000,000. Proceeds from investments, leases, and conveyances are to be deposited into this Fund, and any use of the Fund is subject to annual appropriations. The Family Housing Improvement Fund is to be administered as a single account without fiscal year limitations. This new authority to

enter into contracts and partnerships and to make investments shall expire on September 30, 2000.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

In the future, budget justification documents are to display project and administrative costs.

The Committee reiterates the reporting requirements contained in the enabling legislation. The Service Secretary concerned may not enter into any contract until after the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date the Secretary concerned submits written notice of the nature and terms of the contract to the appropriate committees of Congress.

In addition, no transfer of appropriated funds into the account may take place until after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice and justification for the transfer to the appropriate committees of Congress. The Appropriations Committee expects to receive prior notification of all such transfers of funds.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$75,586,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	36,181,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	36,181,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	- 39,405,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	0

The Committee recommends the budget request of \$36,181,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense, a decrease of \$39,405,000 from the fiscal year 1996 appropriation.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund which finances a program for providing assistance to homeowners by reducing their losses incident to the disposal of their homes when military installations at or near where they are serving or employed are ordered to be closed or the scope of operations is reduced. The Fund was established in recognition of the fact that base closure and reduction actions can have serious economic effects on local communities. The Fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

Recent base closure and realignment actions have had a significant impact on this account. The total estimated requirements for fiscal year 1997 are \$163,400,000. Funding for this requirement will come from the following sources: appropriations in the amount of \$36,181,000; estimated revenue of \$127,219,000; and prior year carryover of \$21,060,000.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

OVERVIEW

The Congress has appropriated, to date, \$13,373,198,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure program since fiscal year 1990. In the bill for fiscal year 1997, the Committee is recommending total funding of \$2,507,474,000 under three accounts, as requested.

These funds are necessary to ensure closure schedules can be met and anticipated savings will be realized. In addition, funding is essential for accelerated cleanup which is necessary for reuse of surplus properties and future job creation.

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function and by base. The Committee, in recognizing the complexities of realigning and closing bases and providing for environmental restoration, has provided such flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor the program execution of the Services and to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of realignment and closures along with environmental restoration.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure including amounts recommended for fiscal year 1997:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE				
[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1997]				
	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1995	Fiscal year 1996 enacted	Fiscal year 1997 recommended	Total
Part I—	\$2,672,830,000	NA	NA	\$2,672,830,000
Part II ¹	3,875,310,000—	\$964,843,000—	\$352,800,000	5,192,953,000
Part III ²	2,927,166,000	2,148,480,000	971,925,000	6,047,571,000
Part IV	NA	784,569,000—	1,182,749,000—	1,967,318,000
Total	9,475,306,000	3,897,892,000	2,507,474,000	15,880,672,000

¹ Includes transfer of \$133,000,000 from "Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense."

² Includes: Rescission of \$507,692,000 (P.L. 103-211); rescission of \$32,000,000 (P.L. 104-6).

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure, Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a total of \$13,373,198,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 1996. Within this total, the Department has allocated \$3,307,800,000 for activities associated with environmental restoration.

The Committee is concerned that the design and cost of environmental restoration efforts should be tailored to match the proposed re-use of an installation in order to assure that costs are reasonable and affordable. Therefore, the Committee continues to recommend statutory language to establish a ceiling on the level of funding for environmental restoration, unless the Secretary of Defense determines additional obligations are necessary and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of his determination and the necessary reasons for the increase.

The following table displays the statutory ceiling established by the Committee and is equal to the Department's execution plan for fiscal year 1997.

Account—	Total program	Ceiling on environmental restoration costs
BRAC II—	\$352,800,000—	\$223,789,000—
BRAC III—	971,925,000—	351,967,000

Account—	Total program	Ceiling on environmental restoration costs
BRAC IV—	1,182,749,000—	200,841,000
Total	2,507,474,000	776,597,000

The Committee directs the Department of Defense to devote the maximum amount of resources to actual cleanup and, to the greatest extent possible, to limit resources expended on administration, support, studies, and investigations.

CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

The Department of Defense has requested a total of \$861,956,000 within the fiscal year 1997 budget request for base realignment and closure for construction projects funded under the Base Realignment and Closure Accounts, Parts II, III, and IV. The Committee recommends full funding for these important projects. The Committee finds it important that the Congress be advised of any programmatic changes and therefore continues the requirement that any change in a project shall be considered a change in a specifically authorized and appropriated project and all limitations and notification procedures shall apply to these construction projects in the same manner as within the “Active and Reserve Component” accounts. The Committee provides approval and appropriated funds for the following construction projects as contained in Executive Summary of Justification Data submitted to Congress March 1996:

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Army BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
Texas:		
Fort Bliss:		
Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar (46592)	III	\$4,700
Subtotal Army Texas		4,700
Total for Army BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		4,700
Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997:		
Alabama:		
Anniston Army Depot:		
EOD Operations Facility (34665)	IV	1,700
Subtotal Army Alabama		1,700
Arizona:		
Fort Huachuca:		
Building 61801 Renovation (46212)	IV	400
Warehouse (46235)	IV	800
Subtotal Army Arizona		1,200
District of Columbia:		
Walter Reed Army Medical Center:		
Nurse Training Facility (46342)	IV	1,500
Subtotal Army District of Columbia		1,500

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Maryland:		
Fort Detrick:		
Administrative Facility (46197)	IV	6,800
General Purpose Storage (46204)	IV	1,150
Subtotal Army Maryland		7,950
Missouri:		
Fort Leonard Wood:		
Chemical Defense Training Facility (45893)	IV	28,000
General Instruction Facility (46090)	IV	58,000
Applied Instruction Facility (46091)	IV	32,000
Unaccompanied Enlisted Housing (46092)	IV	58,000
Subtotal Army Missouri		176,000
New Jersey:		
Fort Monmouth:		
Administrative Facility (45981)	IV	2,200
Subtotal Army New Jersey		2,200
New York:		
Fort Totten:		
Storage Facility (46258)	IV	1,900
Subtotal Army New York		1,900
Oklahoma:		
McAlester Army Ammunition Plant:		
Universal Functional Test Range (45911)	IV	1,950
General and Applied Instruction Facility (45956)	IV	6,100
Administrative Facility (45955)	IV	14,200
Subtotal Army Oklahoma		22,250
South Carolina:		
Fort Jackson:		
DOD Polygraph Institute (45839)	IV	4,600
Subtotal Army South Carolina		4,600
Virginia:		
Fort Belvoir:		
Administrative Facility (45858)	IV	7,500
Subtotal Army Virginia		7,500
Washington:		
Fort Lewis:		
Center for Health Promotion (46056)	IV	3,050
Subtotal Army Washington		3,050
Various Locations:		
Planning and Design	IV	9,790
Total for Army BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997		239,640
Army BRAC IV family housing, fiscal year 1997:		
Missouri:		
Fort Leonard Wood:		
General Officer Quarters (38174)		430
Total for Army BRAC IV family housing, fiscal year 1997		430

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Navy BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Fleet ASW Training Center, San Diego:		
Gymnasium (387T)	III	3,400
Marine Corps Air Station, Camp Pendleton:		
Warehouse and Special Storage Facilities (029T)	III	6,080
Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar:		
Storage Facilities (007T)	III	9,820
Tactical Van Pad Facility (012T)	III	15,500
Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (013T)	III	59,883
Naval Air Station Lemoore:		
Administrative Office (186T)	III	1,500
Subtotal Navy California		96,183
District of Columbia:		
Commandant Naval District, Washington:		
Headquarters Building Renovation (001T)	III	2,000
Strategic Systems Programs Office, Washington:		
Building Renovation (001T)	III	14,580
Subtotal Navy District of Columbia		16,580
Florida:		
Army Reserve Center, Orlando:		
Facility Modifications (001T)	III	2,683
Naval Air Station, Jacksonville:		
Aviation Physiology Training (831T)	III	2,270
Subtotal Navy Florida		4,953
Georgia:		
Naval Air Station, Atlanta:		
Marine Reserve Training Facility (906T)	III	9,100
Subtotal Navy Georgia		9,100
Hawaii:		
Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe Bay:		
Aircraft Parking Apron (268T)	III	14,562
Maintenance Hangar Alterations (270T)	III	31,400
Building Renovations (271T)	III	2,500
Building Additions and Renovations (272T)	III	1,300
Aviation Supply Facilities (274T)	III	2,700
Training Facility (276T)	III	8,600
Bachelor Quarters (286T)	III	26,900
Helicopter Landing Pad (287T)	III	400
Hazardous Storehouse and Waste Transfer Facility (288T)	III	5,100
Ordnance Facilities (297T)	III	1,400
Tactical Support Facility (297T)	III	10,500
Utilities Upgrade (504T)	III	5,100
Ordnance Facilities (508T)	III	2,100
Subtotal Navy Hawaii		112,562
Nevada:		
Naval Air Station, Fallon:		
Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (Phase II) (308T)	III	9,830
Subtotal Navy Nevada		9,830
South Carolina:		
Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort:		
Hangar Renovation (396T)	III	1,900

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Subtotal Navy South Carolina	1,900
Tennessee:		
Naval Air Station, Memphis:		
Building Alterations (326T)	III	17,510
Building Alterations (325T)	III	7,100
Subtotal Navy Tennessee	24,610
Texas:		
Naval Air Station, Fort Worth:		
Child Development Center (121T)	III	2,010
Subtotal Navy Texas	2,010
Virginia:		
Naval Station, Norfolk:		
Administrative Facility (360T)	III	1,000
Naval Air Station, Oceana:		
Engine Maintenance Shop Addition (457T)	III	480
Subtotal Navy Virginia	1,480
Washington:		
Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island:		
Ground Support Equipment Shop (600T)	III	2,700
Sonobuoy Storage Facility (615T)	III	600
Subtotal Navy Washington	3,300
Midway Island:		
Naval Air Facility:		
Demolition (402T)	III	3,000
Subtotal Navy Midway Island	3,000
Total for Navy BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997	285,508
Navy BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1997:		
Florida:		
Naval Air Station, Pensacola:		
Family Housing (406T)	III	9,845
Subtotal Navy Florida	9,845
Washington:		
Naval Submarine Base, Bangor:		
Family Housing (404T)	III	4,672
Family Housing (405T)	III	6,454
Subtotal Navy Washington	11,126
Total for Navy BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1997	20,971
Navy BRAC IV Construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Naval Air Station, North Island:		
Maintenance Training Facility (829U)	IV	3,780
Naval Aviation Depot, North Island:		
Engineering Support Office Modifications (832U)	IV	844
Engineering Support Offices (830U)	IV	721
Naval Weapon Station, Concord:		
Secure Warehouse (999U)	IV	15,400

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Subtotal Navy California		20,745
District of Columbia:		
Commandant, Naval District Washington:		
Parking Garage (104U)	IV	8,900
Logistics Support Facility (101U)	IV	2,400
Public Works Facility (102U)	IV	1,900
Subtotal Navy District of Columbia		13,200
Florida:		
Naval Explosive Diving Unit, Panama City:		
Manned Diving Physiology (366U)	IV	1,870
Subtotal Navy Florida		1,870
Maryland:		
Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock:		
Materials Processing Facility (181U)	IV	1,450
Magnetic Fields Facility (182U)	IV	6,400
Subtotal Navy Maryland		7,850
Pennsylvania:		
Naval Surface Warfare Center, Philadelphia:		
Advance Machine R&D Facility (184U)	IV	5,400
Subtotal Navy Pennsylvania		5,400
South Carolina:		
Naval Weapon Station, Charleston:		
Medical/Dental Clinic Expansion (019U)	IV	3,464
Subtotal Navy South Carolina		3,464
Tennessee:		
Naval Air Station, Memphis:		
Building Modifications (328U)	IV	4,744
Subtotal Navy Tennessee		4,744
Virginia:		
Naval Air Station, Oceana:		
Flight Simulator Building Addition (160U)	IV	9,044
Corrosion Control Hangar (576U)	IV	4,800
F/A 18 Aviation Maintenance Additions (164U)	IV	2,700
Renovate/Addition Training Facility (161U)	IV	5,700
Subtotal Navy Virginia		22,244
Washington:		
Naval Shipyard, Puget Sound:		
Ship Maintenance Facilities (334U)	IV	1,840
Subtotal Navy Washington		1,840
Various Locations:		
Planning and design		9,700
Total for Navy BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997		91,057
Air Force BRAC II construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Beale AFB:		
Add/Alter Civil Engineering Facilities (BAEY950204R1)	II	900

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Add/Alter Operations Facility (BAEY950200R1)	II	460
Alter Logistics Facilities (BAEY950201R1)	II	520
Add/Alter Support Facility (BAEY939108)	II	300
Vandenberg AFB:		
Campus Utilities (XUMU963007)	II	2,900
Subtotal Air Force California		5,080
Colorado:		
Buckley Air National Guard Base:		
Enlisted Dormitory (CRWU953050)	II	8,150
Subtotal Air Force Colorado	II	8,150
Indiana:		
Grissom ARB:		
Munitions Storage (CTGC959019)	II	1,500
Subtotal Air Force Indiana		1,500
Mississippi:		
Keesler AFB:		
Physical Fitness Center (MAHG913034)	II	690
Subtotal Air Force Mississippi	II	690
Ohio:		
Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base:		
Alter Base Maintenance Shops (NLZG939686)	II	1,950
Alter Support Shops (NLZG939687)	II	2,000
Alter Fuel System Maintenance Dock (NLZG939700)	II	1,200
Jet Fuel Storage/Distribution (NLZG939729)	II	9,000
Wright-Patterson AFB:		
National Airborne Operations Center Complex (ZHTV943204)	II	5,100
Subtotal Air Force Ohio		19,250
Texas:		
Lackland AFB:		
Add/Alter Physical Fitness Center (MPLS913337)	II	1,600
Alter Technical Training Facility (MPYJ953260)	II	2,250
Sheppard AFB:		
Add to Chapel (VNPV933025)	II	700
Subtotal Air Force Texas		4,550
Various Locations:		
Planning and Design (BCL97RD4)		580
Total for Air Force BRAC II construction, fiscal year 1997		39,800
Air Force BRAC II family housing, fiscal year 1997:		
Oklahoma:		
Altus AFB:		
Family Housing (AGGN954015)	II	22,973
Total for Air Force BRAC II family housing, fiscal year 1997		22,973
Air Force BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
March AFB:		
Alter Combat Camera (PCZP960606)	III	1,200
Travis AFB:		
Upgrade Roads (XDAT953320)	III	2,400

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Subtotal Air Force California	3,600
Idaho:		
Mountain Home AFB:		
Air Control Squad Complex (QYZH973020)	III	3,500
Subtotal Air Force Idaho	3,500
New Jersey:		
McGuire AFB:		
Public Health Facility (PTFL943174)	III	4,000
Upgrade Roads (PTFL943167)	III	3,000
Subtotal Air Force New Jersey	7,000
New York:		
Griffiss AFB:		
Alter Support Facilities (JREZ940056)	III	750
Alter Consolidated Logistics Facility (JREZ940055)	III	2,550
Subtotal Air Force New York	3,300
Total for Air Force BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997	17,400
Air Force BRAC III family housing, fiscal year 1997:		
New Jersey:		
McGuire AFB:		
Improve Family Housing (PTFL954000X)	III	15,884
Total for Air Force BRAC III Family Housing, fiscal year 1997	15,884
Air Force BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Edwards AFB:		
Add/Alter Avionics Research Laboratory (FSPM973506)	IV	890
March AFB:		
Add/Alter Communication/Electronic Training Complex (PCZP959603)	IV	640
Subtotal Air Force California	1,530
Florida:		
MacDill AFB:		
Add/Alter Fuel Maintenance Facility (NVZR973722)	IV	2,900
Alter Squadron Operations Facility (NVZR973718)	IV	2,500
Alter Corrosion Control (NVZR973721)	IV	5,000
Alter Maintenance Facilities (NVZR973723)	IV	800
Patrick AFB:		
Pararescue Training Facility (SXHT959002)	IV	2,650
Maintenance Facilities (SXHT959011)	IV	500
Add/Alter Corrosion Control Facility (SXHT959004)	IV	2,750
Subtotal Air Force Florida	17,100
Mississippi:		
Columbus AFB:		
T-37 Aircraft Maintenance Hangar (EEPZ973006)	IV	1,100
Subtotal Air Force Mississippi	1,100
New York:		
Fort Drum:		
Runway/Apron/Instrument Landing System (WOXG959609)	IV	46,000
Subtotal Air Force New York	46,000

Component/State/project description	BRAC round	Amount (thousands)
Texas:		
Carswell Naval Air Station/Fort Worth Joint Reserve Base:		
Numbered Air Force Headquarters (DDPF959004)	IV	4,300
Security Police Training Facility (DDPF959006)	IV	720
Laughlin AFB:		
Add to Child Development Center (MXDP973003R1)	IV	350
Subtotal Air Force Texas		5,370
Various Locations:		
Planning and Design (BCL97RD4)		5,543
Total for Air Force BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997		76,643
Defense Logistics Agency BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Defense Contract Management District West, El Segundo:		
Administrative Building	III	5,200
Subtotal DLA California		5,200
Pennsylvania:		
Aviation Supply Office, Philadelphia:		
Convert Facilities for Defense Personnel Support Center	III	31,950
Subtotal DLA Pennsylvania		31,950
Various Locations:		
Planning and Design		500
Total for DLA BRAC III construction, fiscal year 1997		37,650
Defense Logistics Agency BRAC IV Construction, fiscal year 1997:		
California:		
Defense Distribution Region West, Tracy:		
Hazardous Material Storage Addition to Warehouse 28	IV	9,300
Total for DLA BRAC IV construction, fiscal year 1997		9,300

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART I

The Committee notes that fiscal year 1995 was the last year for appropriations into this account.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART II

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$964,843,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	352,800,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	352,800,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	- 612,043,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$352,800,000 for Base Realignment and Closure, Part II for fiscal year 1997. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1997 and a decrease of \$612,043,000 below the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1996. Below is the recommended distribution of funds as requested:

Activity	Amount
Military Construction	\$39,800,000
Family Housing	22,973,000
Environmental	223,789,000

<i>Activity</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Operations and Maintenance	65,684,000
Military Personnel (PCS)	0
Other	554,000
Revenues	0
Total	352,800,000

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART III

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$2,148,480,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	971,925,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	971,925,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	-1,176,555,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$971,925,000 for Base Realignment and Closure, Part III for fiscal year 1997. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1997 and a decrease of \$1,176,555,000 below the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1996. Below is the recommended distribution of funds as requested:

<i>Activity</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Military Construction	\$345,258,000
Family Housing	36,855,000
Environmental	351,967,000
Operations and Maintenance	425,350,000
Military Personnel (PCS)	27,524,000
Other	28,918,000
Revenues	(243,947,000)
Total	971,925,000

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE, PART IV

Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	\$784,569,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	1,182,749,000
Committee recommendation in the bill	1,182,749,000
Comparison with:	
Fiscal year 1996 appropriation	+398,180,000
Fiscal year 1997 estimate	0

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,182,749,000 for Base Realignment and Closure, Part IV for fiscal year 1997. This is equal to the budget request for fiscal year 1997 and an increase of \$398,180,000 above the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1996. Below is the recommended distribution of funds as requested:

<i>Activity</i>	<i>Amount</i>
Military Construction	\$416,640,000
Family Housing	1,624,000
Environmental	200,841,000
Operations and Maintenance	541,079,000
Military Personnel (PCS)	3,581,000
Other	18,984,000
Revenues	0
Total	1,182,749,000

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The bill carries a number of routine General Provisions that have been included for several years.

CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3 of rule XXI of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of existing law.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authority legislation does not presently authorize such extended availability.

A provision of the "Military Construction, Defense-wide" account which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds to other accounts for military construction or family housing.

A new account has been established, "Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund", for arrangements with private developers to provide affordable, timely housing for unaccompanied service members. A provision is included which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds from other construction accounts.

A provision of the "Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund", which permits the Secretary of Defense to transfer funds from other family housing accounts.

A provision of the "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part II" states that not more than \$223,789,000 of the funds appropriated shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

A provision of the "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part III" states that not more than \$351,967,000 of the funds appropriated shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

A provision of the "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV" states that not more than \$200,841,000 of the funds appropriated shall be available solely for environmental restoration.

Section 101 of the General Provisions states that none of the funds appropriated in Military Construction Appropriations Acts shall be expended for payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, where cost estimates exceed \$25,000, to be performed within the United States, except Alaska, without the specific approval in writing of the Secretary of Defense, except in the case of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

Section 102 of the General Provisions permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

Section 103 of the General Provisions permits use of funds for Defense Access Roads.

Section 104 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

Section 105 of the General Provisions limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

Section 106 of the General Provisions prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

Section 107 of the General Provisions limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

Section 108 of the General Provisions prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

Section 109 of the General Provisions prohibits payment of real property taxes in foreign nations.

Section 110 of the General Provisions prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

Section 111 of the General Provisions establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineer services in Japan, in any NATO member country, and in the Arabian Gulf.

Section 112 of the General Provisions establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf.

Section 113 of the General Provisions requires the Secretary of Defense to give prior notice to Congress of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

Section 114 of the General Provisions limits obligations during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 115 of the General Provisions permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

Section 116 of the General Provisions permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

Section 117 of the General Provisions permits obligation of funds from more than one fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

Section 118 of the General Provisions allows expired funds to be transferred to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction, Defense" account.

Section 119 of the General Provisions directs the Secretary of Defense to report annually regarding the specific actions to be taken during the current fiscal year to encourage other member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Japan, Korea, and United States allies in the Arabian Gulf to assume a greater share of the common defense burden.

Section 120 of the General Provisions allows transfer of proceeds from "Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part I" to the continuing Base Realignment and Closure accounts.

Section 121 of the General Provisions prohibits expenditure of funds except in compliance with the Buy American Act.

Section 122 of the General Provisions states the Sense of the Congress notifying recipients of equipment or products authorized

to be purchased with financial assistance provided in this Act to purchase American-made equipment and products.

Section 123 of the General Provisions permits the transfer of funds from the Base Realignment and Closure accounts to the "Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense."

The Committee recommends deleting the following General Provisions which were included in the fiscal year 1996 Military Construction Appropriations Act (P.L. 104-32):

Section 124, regarding the Army's use of George AFB as the interim airhead for the National Training Center at Fort Irwin until Barstow-Daggett reaches Initial Operational Capability as the permanent airhead.

Section 125, regarding the Army's conveyance of remaining surplus property at the former Fort Sheridan to the Fort Sheridan Joint Planning Committee or its successor, for fair market value.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII—CLAUSE 3

In compliance with clause 3 of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the Committee reports that it recommends no changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3 of rule XXI of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

Military Construction, Army
Military Construction, Navy
Military Construction, Air Force
Military Construction, Defense-wide
Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied
Housing Improvement Fund
Military Construction, Army National Guard
Military Construction, Air National Guard
Military Construction, Army Reserve
Military Construction, Naval Reserve
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security
Investment Program
Family Housing, Construction, Army
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Army-
Family Housing, Construction, Navy and Marine Corps
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Navy
and Marine Corps
Family Housing, Construction, Air Force
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Air Force
Family Housing, Construction, Defense-wide
Family Housing, Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense
Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part II
Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part III
Base Realignment and Closure Account, Part IV

The Committee notes that authorization for appropriations in this bill is contained in H.R. 3230, which passed the House on May 15, 1996. It is anticipated the authorization will be enacted into law later this year.

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule X of the House of Representatives, a statement is required describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill. Sections 115, 118, 120, and 123 of the General Provisions, and language included under “Military Construction, Defense-wide” and Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund” and “Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund” provide certain transfer authority.

RESCISSION OF FUNDS

In compliance with clause 1(b) of rule X of the House of Representatives, the Committee reports that it recommends rescissions in the amount of \$12,000,000 under “Military Construction, Navy.”

INFLATIONARY IMPACT STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 2(1)(4) of rule XI of the House of Representatives, the Committee estimates that enactment of this bill would have no overall inflationary impact on prices and costs in the operation of the national economy.

COMPARISONS WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 602(b) of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year. This information follows:

[In millions of dollars]

	602(b) Allocation		This bill	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Discretionary	\$10,033	\$10,430	\$10,032	\$10,429
Mandatory	0	0	0	0

ADVANCE SPENDING AUTHORITY

This bill provides no advance spending authority.

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the following information was provided to the Committee by the Congressional Budget Office.

[In thousands of dollars]

Budget authority, fiscal year 1997 \$10,032,000

Outlays:	
1997	3,225,000
1998	3,209,000
1999	1,933,000
2000	980,000
2001 and beyond	685,000

The bill will not affect the levels of revenues, tax expenditures, direct loan obligations, or primary loan guarantee commitments under existing law.

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(D) of Public Law 93–344, the new budget authority and outlays provided by the accompanying bill for financial assistance to State and local governments are as follows:

	[In millions of dollars]	
New budget authority		0
Fiscal year 1997 outlays resulting therefrom		0

STATE LIST

The following is a complete listing, by State and country, of the Committee's recommendations for military construction and family housing projects:

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	MNSC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
ALABAMA			
AIR FORCE			
MAXWELL AFB			
OTS ACADEMIC FACILITY.....	7,875	7,875	7,875
DEFENSE-WIDE			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE			
AMBULATORY HEALTH CARE CENTER (PHASE II).....	25,000	25,000	25,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
BIRMINGHAM			
JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	---	4,600	4,600
TOTAL, ALABAMA.....	32,875	37,475	37,475
ALASKA			
AIR FORCE			
ELMENDORF AFB			
HANGAR/SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE...	19,435	19,435	19,435
UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM.....	2,095	2,095	2,095
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC ELMENDORF AFB			
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	18,000	18,000	18,000
TOTAL, ALASKA.....	39,530	39,530	39,530
ARIZONA			
ARMY			
FORT HUACHUCA			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	21,000	21,000
NAVY			
CAMP NAVAJO NAVY DETACHMENT			
MAGAZINE MODIFICATIONS (PHASE I).....	3,920	3,920	3,920
YUMA MCAS			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	14,600	14,600
AIR FORCE			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB			
CONSOLIDATED MATERIAL PROCESSING FACILITY.....	5,590	5,590	5,590
EC-130 AIRCRAFT MAINT FACILITY.....	4,330	4,330	4,330
LUKE AFB			
DORMITORY.....	---	6,700	6,700
TOTAL, ARIZONA.....	13,840	56,140	56,140
ARKANSAS			
AIR FORCE			
LITTLE ROCK AFB			
ADD ALTER FIELD TRAINING FACILITY.....	1,525	1,525	1,525
C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE....	14,045	14,045	14,045
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.....	2,535	2,535	2,535
DEFENSE-WIDE			
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)...	46,000	46,000	46,000
TOTAL, ARKANSAS.....	64,105	64,105	64,105
CALIFORNIA			
ARMY			
BARSTOW-DAGGETT			
HELIPORT.....	---	7,000	7,000
CAMP ROBERTS			
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	5,500	5,500	5,500
CONCORD NAVAL WEAPONS STATION			
AMMUNITION PIER (DBOF).....	27,000	27,000	27,000
NAVY			
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS AIR STATION			
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON AND TAXIWAY.....	2,600	2,600	2,600
RUNWAY IMPROVEMENTS.....	1,390	1,390	1,390
TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE.....	2,250	2,250	2,250
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE			
AUTOMATED FIELD FIRING RANGE.....	4,020	4,020	4,020
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	10,100	10,100	10,100
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	11,800	11,800	11,800
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT.....	12,500	12,500	12,500
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	4,150	4,150	4,150
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	9,060	9,060	9,060
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION			
DREDGING.....	59,502	59,502	59,502
SHIP MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	27,000	27,000	27,000
PORT HUENEME NCBC			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	7,700	7,700
SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND NAVAL FACILITY			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS AND MESSHALL.....	17,000	17,000	17,000
SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION			
OILY WASTE COLLECTION FACILITY.....	7,050	7,050	7,050
SAN DIEGO NAV CMD CTRL AND OCEAN SUR CEN ROTE DIV			
PIER (SAN CLEMENTE).....	1,960	1,960	1,960
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARCORP AIR-GRND COMB CTR			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	4,020	4,020	4,020
AIR FORCE			
BEALE AFB			
CARS DEPLOYABLE GROUND STATION SUPPORT FACILITY...	7,690	7,690	7,690
LANDFILL CLOSURE.....	6,735	6,735	6,735
EDWARDS AFB			
ADD/ALTER ANECHOIC CHAMBER.....	4,890	4,890	4,890
CONVERT BOILERS.....	3,120	3,120	3,120
F-22 ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	4,390	4,390	4,390
RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	7,680	7,680	7,680

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNMC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MCCLELLAN AFB			
FLOOD CONTROL MEASURES.....	8,795	---	---
TRAVIS AFB			
DORMITORY.....	7,980	7,980	7,980
DORMITORY.....	---	8,250	8,250
VANDENBERG AFB			
SATELLITE PROCESSING FACILITY.....	3,290	3,290	3,290
DEFENSE-WIDE			
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE			
BRANCH MEDICAL CLINIC (EDSON RANGE).....	3,300	3,300	3,300
DEF DISTRIBUTION SAN DIEGO - DDDC			
REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE (DBOF).....	15,700	15,700	15,700
DFSC EL CENTRO NAF			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF).....	5,700	5,700	5,700
DFSC TRAVIS AFB			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF).....	15,200	15,200	15,200
NAVAL AIR STATION LEMORE			
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT.....	38,000	38,000	38,000
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, CORONADO			
SOF-OPERATIONS AND LOGISTICS SUPPORT FACILITY.....	7,700	7,700	7,700
NORTON AFB (DFAS)			
RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMINISTRATIVE USE.....	13,800	13,800	13,800
NAVY RESERVE			
PASADENA			
MARINE CORPS RESERVE FACILITY.....	---	6,100	6,100
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA.....	360,872	381,127	381,127
COLORADO			
ARMY			
FORT CARSON			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	4,550	4,550
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE II).....	13,000	13,000	13,000
AIR FORCE			
BUCKLEY ANG BASE			
BASE SUPPLY AND EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE.....	3,500	3,500	3,500
SPACE-BASED INFRARED SYS OPS FACILITY.....	14,460	14,460	14,460
FALCON AFS			
ALTER DINING FACILITY/SAFETY UPGRADE.....	2,095	2,095	2,095
PETERSON AFB			
DORMITORY.....	8,350	8,350	8,350
MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	12,370	12,370	12,370
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY			
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER.....	---	2,100	2,100
UPGRADE ACADEMIC FACILITY.....	10,065	10,065	10,065
DEFENSE-WIDE			
PUEBLO DEPOT			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE I).....	17,497	17,497	17,497
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
BUCKLEY ANGB			
INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	4,450	4,450
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
PETERSON AFB			
COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	3,200	3,200	3,200
TOTAL, COLORADO.....	84,537	95,637	95,637
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY			
NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	10,600	10,600	10,600
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE.....	3,230	3,230	3,230
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
WINDSOR LOCKS			
FIRE SUPPRESSION.....	---	3,045	3,045
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT.....	13,830	16,875	16,875
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE			
DOVER AFB			
C-5 AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY.....	7,980	7,980	7,980
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
NEW CASTLE COUNTY AIRPORT (WILMINGTON)			
FIRE STATION, AGE, AND AGU COMPLEX.....	---	2,800	2,800
TOTAL, DELAWARE.....	7,980	10,780	10,780
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
ARMY			
FORT LESLEY J MCNAIR			
NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY FACILITY (PHASE II)....	6,900	6,900	6,900
NAVY			
WASHINGTON COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS COMPLEX.....	19,300	19,300	19,300
DEFENSE-WIDE			
BOLLING AFB			
RECONFIGURATION DIAC.....	6,790	6,790	6,790
NAVY RESERVE			
NAVAL AIR FACILITY WASHINGTON (ANDREWS AFB)			
ADDITION TO HANGAR 12.....	640	640	640
TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION.....	1,465	1,465	1,465
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.....	35,095	35,095	35,095
FLORIDA			
NAVY			
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION			
FITNESS CENTER.....	2,250	2,250	2,250

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	MNSC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MAYPORT NS			
WHARF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT.....	---	2,800	2,800
AIR FORCE			
EGLIN AFB			
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	4,590	4,590	4,590
EGLIN AFB AUXILIARY FIELD 9			
TRANSIENT PERSONNEL QUARTERS.....	6,825	6,825	6,825
PATRICK AFB			
CONTROL TOWER.....	2,595	2,595	2,595
TYNDALL AFB			
AIRCRAFT EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	3,600	3,600
DEFENSE-WIDE			
KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION			
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT.....	13,600	13,600	13,600
MACDILL AFB			
ADD TO USSOCOM COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY.....	---	9,600	9,600
ORLANDO NTC (DFAS)			
RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)...	2,600	2,600	2,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
MACDILL AFB			
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY.....	---	4,248	4,248
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
JACKSONVILLE IAP			
UPGRADE HEATING PLANTS AND CHILLERS.....	680	680	680
NAVY RESERVE			
NAV RES READINESS CDM, JACKSONVILLE			
RESERVE CENTER ADDITION.....	770	770	770
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
HOMESTEAD AFB			
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY.....	1,300	1,300	1,300
TOTAL, FLORIDA.....	35,210	55,458	55,458
GEORGIA			
ARMY			
FORT BENNING			
RAIL LOADING FACILITY.....	9,400	9,400	9,400
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL.....	44,000	44,000	44,000
FORT MCPHERSON			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL.....	---	9,100	9,100
FT STEWART/HUNTER AAF			
CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING BUILDING.....	6,000	6,000	6,000
NAVY			
ALBANY MCLB			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	1,630	1,630
KINGS BAY NSB			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION.....	---	1,550	1,550
AIR FORCE			
ROBINS AFB			
JSTARS ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS.....	1,645	1,645	1,645
JSTARS ADD/ALTER APRON/HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM.....	6,585	6,585	6,585
JSTARS SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINT.....	8,270	8,270	8,270
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	2,145	2,145	2,145
UPGRADE DORMITORY.....	---	4,000	4,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
ROBINS AFB			
B-1 AGE AND MUNITIONS TRAILER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX.....	2,800	2,800	2,800
B-1 AIRCRAFT PARK APRON AND RELOCATE TAXIWAY.....	8,800	8,800	8,800
B-1 COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX.....	12,400	12,400	12,400
B-1 SITE IMPROVEMENTS ROADS AND UTILITIES.....	5,500	5,500	5,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
DOBBINS AFB			
ADD/ALTER COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY.....	1,137	1,137	1,137
TOTAL, GEORGIA.....	108,682	124,962	124,962
HAWAII			
NAVY			
KANEOHE BAY MCAS			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	20,080	20,080
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL STATION			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION.....	19,600	19,600	19,600
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	30,500	30,500	30,500
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION.....	5,390	5,390	5,390
DEFENSE-WIDE			
PEARL HARBOR (FORD ISLAND)			
SOF-ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYSTEM FACILITY.....	12,800	12,800	12,800
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
HICKAM AFB			
ALTER AVIONICS SHOP.....	1,000	1,000	1,000
TOTAL, HAWAII.....	69,290	89,370	89,370
IDAHO			
NAVY			
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER, BAYVIEW			
SHIPS MODEL ENGINEERING AND TEST FACILITY.....	7,150	7,150	7,150
AIR FORCE			
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB			
DORMITORY.....	---	9,300	9,300
FLIGHTLINE FIRE STATION.....	6,545	6,545	6,545
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
GOWEN FIELD (BOISE)			
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FAC.....	4,500	4,500	4,500
TOTAL, IDAHO.....	18,195	27,495	27,495

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNHC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
ILLINOIS			
NAVY			
GREAT LAKES NAVAL HOSPITAL			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	15,200	15,200
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	22,900	22,900	22,900
DEFENSE-WIDE			
ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL (DFAS)			
RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)...	14,400	14,400	14,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT			
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND CORROSION CONTROL FAC.	4,200	4,200	4,200
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
SCOTT AFB			
CONSOLIDATED MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	2,300	2,300	2,300
TOTAL, ILLINOIS.....	43,800	59,000	59,000
INDIANA			
NAVY			
CRANE NSWC			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	5,000	5,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
MARION			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	1,121	1,121
CAMP ATTERBURY			
CENTRAL VEHICLE WASH FACILITY.....	---	4,747	4,747
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
FT WAYNE MAP			
UPGRADE DRAINAGE SYSTEM.....	480	480	480
HULMAN FIELD (TERRE HAUTE)			
EXTEND CROSS WIND RUNWAY.....	---	7,000	7,000
TOTAL, INDIANA.....	480	18,348	18,348
IOWA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
DES MOINES IAP			
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM AND AGE SHOP.....	---	3,350	3,350
KANSAS			
ARMY			
FORT RILEY			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL.....	26,000	26,000	26,000
AIR FORCE			
MCCONNELL AFB			
DORMITORY.....	8,480	8,480	8,480
DORMITORY.....	---	7,100	7,100
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC MCCONNELL AFB			
ADD/ALTER JET FUEL STORAGE FACILITY (DBOF).....	2,200	2,200	2,200
ARMY RESERVE			
WICHITA			
ADD/ALTER USARC/NEW OMS/AMSA.....	5,670	5,670	5,670
TOTAL, KANSAS.....	42,350	49,450	49,450
KENTUCKY			
ARMY			
FORT CAMPBELL			
RAIL SPUR.....	16,100	16,100	16,100
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE II).....	35,000	35,000	35,000
FORT KNOX			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL.....	---	20,500	20,500
DEFENSE-WIDE			
FORT CAMPBELL			
SOF - SUPPLY SUPPORT FACILITY.....	4,200	4,200	4,200
TOTAL, KENTUCKY.....	55,300	75,800	75,800
LOUISIANA			
AIR FORCE			
BARKSDALE AFB			
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS SQUADRON COMPLEX.....	2,500	2,500	2,500
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.....	2,390	2,390	2,390
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC BARKSDALE AFB			
JET FUEL OFFLOAD FACILITY (DBOF).....	4,300	4,300	4,300
NAVY RESERVE			
NEW ORLEANS NSA			
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY.....	---	3,650	3,650
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	8,956	8,956
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION.....	---	1,330	1,330
TOTAL, LOUISIANA.....	9,190	23,126	23,126
MAINE			
DEFENSE-WIDE			
LORING AFB (DFAS)			
RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)...	6,900	6,900	6,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNHC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MARYLAND			
NAVY			
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR STATION			
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADE.....	1,270	1,270	1,270
PATUXENT RIVER NAWC			
ANTE-CHAMBER AND LABORATORY SPACE.....	---	10,000	10,000
AIR FORCE			
ANDREWS AFB			
ALTER DORMITORY.....	5,990	5,990	5,990
DEFENSE-WIDE			
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE			
LIFE SAFETY/EMERGENCY ROOM UPGRADE.....	15,500	15,500	15,500
DFSC ANDREWS AFB			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF).....	12,100	12,100	12,100
FOREST GLEN (WRAIR)			
ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH (PHASE IV).....	92,000	72,000	72,000
FORT MEADE			
FRIENDSHIP ANNEX III PURCHASE.....	25,200	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
ANDREWS AFB			
MUNITIONS TRAILER MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	500	500	500
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
ANDREWS AFB			
CONSOLIDATED MEDICAL TRAINING.....	2,600	2,600	2,600
TOTAL, MARYLAND.....	155,160	119,960	119,960
MASSACHUSETTS			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
BARNES MAP (WESTFIELD)			
UPGRADE HEATING DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	500	500	500
MICHIGAN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SELFRIDGE ANGB (MT CLEMENS)			
UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEMS.....	3,000	3,000	3,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
SELFRIDGE ANGB (MT CLEMENS)			
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR.....	6,000	6,000	6,000
TOTAL, MICHIGAN.....	9,000	9,000	9,000
MINNESOTA			
ARMY RESERVE			
BUFFALO			
USARC/OMS.....	4,260	4,260	4,260
MISSISSIPPI			
AIR FORCE			
KEESLER AFB			
STUDENT DORMITORY.....	14,465	14,465	14,465
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
CAMP SHELBY			
MULTI-PURPOSE RANGE COMPLEX (PHASE I).....	---	10,000	10,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
THOMPSON FIELD (JACKSON)			
ALTERATION TO OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY....	---	1,350	1,350
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI.....	14,465	25,815	25,815
NEBRASKA			
DEFENSE-WIDE			
OFFUTT AFB (DFAS)			
RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)...	7,000	7,000	7,000
NEVADA			
NAVY			
FALLON NAS			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	14,800	14,800
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	1,400	1,400
AIR FORCE			
INDIAN SPRINGS			
UAV OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FACILITIES.....	4,690	4,690	4,690
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC FALLON NAS			
ADDITION TO HOT REFUELING AREA.....	2,100	2,100	2,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
RENO IAP			
FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE/CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR...	4,600	4,600	4,600
TOTAL, NEVADA.....	11,390	27,590	27,590
NEW JERSEY			
ARMY			
APICATINNY ARSENAL			
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEM (PHASE III).....	---	7,500	7,500
AIR FORCE			
MCGUIRE AFB			
DORMITORY.....	8,080	8,080	8,080
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
FORT DIX			
TRAINING/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY BATTLE LAB (PHASE I)...	---	2,000	2,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
ATLANTIC CITY			
ADD/ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	380	380	380

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
MCQUIRE AFB CONSOLIDATED SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	9,900	9,900
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY.....	8,460	27,860	27,860
NEW MEXICO			
ARMY WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE NATIONAL RANGE CONTROL CENTER (PHASE I).....	---	10,000	10,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD KIRTLAND AFB MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE COMPLEX.....	3,000	3,000	3,000
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO.....	3,000	13,000	13,000
NEW YORK			
ARMY FORT DRUM RANGE CONTROL FACILITY.....	---	3,800	3,800
RAPID DEPLOYMENT OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	7,600	7,600
DEFENSE-WIDE GRIFFISS AFB (DFAS) RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)...	10,200	10,200	10,200
AIR NATIONAL GUARD FRANCIS S. GABRESKI AIRPORT (SUFFOLK COUNTY) AIRCRAFT WASH AND DEICING FACILITY.....	659	659	659
STEWART AIRPORT (NEWBURGH) C-5 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY.....	3,000	3,000	3,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE NIAGARA FALLS IAP DEICING FACILITY.....	342	342	342
FIRE TRAINING SYSTEM.....	1,600	1,600	1,600
TOTAL, NEW YORK.....	15,801	27,201	27,201
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY FORT BRAGG LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II).....	---	14,000	14,000
NAVY CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	5,190	5,190	5,190
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	2,530	2,530	2,530
TRAINING RANGE FACILITIES.....	9,800	9,800	9,800
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT (PHASE III).....	3,230	3,230	3,230
CHERRY POINT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION TACTICAL MISSION PLANNING FACILITY.....	1,630	1,630	1,630
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION AVIATION ARMAMENT SHOPS.....	4,140	4,140	4,140
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	3,250	3,250
CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR.....	12,900	12,900	12,900
AIR FORCE POPE AFB C-130 ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS AMU FACILITY..	3,850	3,850	3,850
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.....	2,065	2,065	2,065
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB F-15 AGE FACILITY/POD STORAGE.....	2,405	2,405	2,405
F-15 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU/ ACADEMIC FAC.....	3,490	3,490	3,490
F-15E ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITIES.....	3,460	3,460	3,460
F-15E STUDENT OFFICER QUARTERS.....	1,925	1,925	1,925
DEFENSE-WIDE FORT BRAGG SOF-COMPANY OPERATIONS AND SUPPLY COMPLEX.....	14,000	14,000	14,000
CONSOL TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC (SMOKE BOMB HILL).....	11,400	11,400	11,400
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE IV).....	89,000	89,000	89,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD STANLEY COUNTY AIRPORT (ALBEMARLE) COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	---	5,000	5,000
PARALLEL TAXIWAY.....	---	1,850	1,850
ARMY RESERVE FORT BRAGG ADD/ALTER USARC/OMS.....	9,966	9,966	9,966
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA.....	180,981	205,081	205,081
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE GRAND FORKS AFB DINING FACILITY.....	5,985	5,985	5,985
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE...	6,485	6,485	6,485
MINOT AFB UNDERGROUND FUEL STORAGE TANKS, MISSILE FACILITY..	3,940	3,940	3,940
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA.....	16,410	16,410	16,410
OHIO			
AIR FORCE WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB ADD/ALTER ENGINEERING RESEARCH LAB.....	7,400	7,400	7,400
DEFENSE-WIDE COLUMBUS CENTER (DFAS) CONSTRUCT ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITY (PHASE II).....	20,822	20,822	20,822
DEFENSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY CENTER (COLUMBUS) CONSTRUCT ENTRANCE ROADWAY (DBOF).....	600	600	600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNMC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
GENTILE AIR FORCE STATION (DFAS)			
RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)...	11,400	11,400	11,400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
RICKENBACKER ANGB			
COMPOSITE SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND HEADQUARTERS FAC	---	6,100	6,100
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
YOUNGSTOWN MAP			
FIRE TRAINING SYSTEM.....	1,500	1,500	1,500
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	3,600	3,600	3,600
WING HEADQUARTERS FACILITY.....	5,300	5,300	5,300
TOTAL, OHIO.....	50,622	56,722	56,722
OKLAHOMA			
AIR FORCE			
TINKER AFB			
CONSOLIDATED VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/METALS FACILITY..	9,880	9,880	9,880
DEPOT AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC (PHASE II)...	---	5,400	5,400
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC ALTUS AFB			
UPGRADE C-5 HYDRANT SYSTEM (PHASE I) (DBOF).....	3,200	3,200	3,200
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
WILL ROGERS WORLD AIRPORT (OKLAHOMA CITY)			
ADD/ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY.....	570	570	570
ARMY RESERVE			
MUSKOGEE			
ADD/ALTER USARC/OMS.....	3,125	3,125	3,125
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
TINKER AFB			
ALTER FACILITIES FOR CONVERSION.....	5,700	5,700	5,700
K-135 OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITIES.....	3,400	3,400	3,400
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA.....	25,875	31,275	31,275
OREGON			
DEFENSE-WIDE			
UMATILLA DEPOT			
AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III)..	64,000	64,000	64,000
PENNSYLVANIA			
NAVY			
PHILADELPHIA NS			
FOUNDRIY IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	8,300	8,300
ARMY RESERVE			
GENEVA			
ECS/ANSA/WAREHOUSE.....	9,352	9,352	9,352
ST MARYS			
ADD/ALTER USARC/OMS.....	2,333	2,333	2,333
NAVY RESERVE			
NAS WILLOW GROVE			
AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY.....	750	750	750
NMCR PITTSBURGH			
PURCHASE RESERVE CENTER BUILDING AND LAND.....	3,480	3,480	3,480
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA.....	15,915	24,215	24,215
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY			
NEWPORT NWC			
STRATEGIC MARITIME RESEARCH CENTER (PHASE II)....	---	8,000	8,000
SOUTH CAROLINA			
NAVY			
PARRIS ISLAND MCRD			
RECRUIT BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY.....	---	2,540	2,540
INDOOR SIMULATED MARKSMANSHIP TRAINER BUILDING....	---	2,450	2,450
AIR FORCE			
CHARLESTON AFB			
C-17 ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE/NDI SHOP.....	4,590	4,590	4,590
C-17 ADD/ALTER APRON/HYDRANT SYSTEM.....	13,170	13,170	13,170
C-17 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	5,785	5,785	5,785
C-17 SQUADRON OPS/AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC...	5,685	5,685	5,685
DORMITORY.....	8,180	8,180	8,180
SHAW AFB			
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS.....	3,300	3,300	3,300
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.....	2,365	2,365	2,365
DEFENSE-WIDE			
CHARLESTON NAVAL SHIPYARD (DFAS)			
RENOVATE EXISTING FACILITY FOR ADMIN USE (DBOF)...	6,200	6,200	6,200
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE			
WRM/BEE FACILITY.....	1,300	1,300	1,300
DFSC SHAW AFB			
RAILROAD JET FUEL FACILITY (DBOF).....	2,900	2,900	2,900
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA.....	53,475	58,465	58,465
TENNESSEE			
AIR FORCE			
ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEV CENTER			
UPGRADE ETF REFRIG SYSTEM PLANT.....	3,790	3,790	3,790
UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM.....	2,991	8,691	8,691
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
KINGSPORT			
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP.....	---	2,755	2,755
TOTAL, TENNESSEE.....	6,781	15,236	15,236

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNSC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
TEXAS			
ARMY			
FORT HOOD			
CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINING BUILDING II.....	5,900	5,900	5,900
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL (PHASE II).....	35,000	35,000	35,000
DIRECT SUPPORT MOTOR POOL.....	---	5,400	5,400
ORGANIZATIONAL MOTOR POOL.....	---	6,400	6,400
NAVY			
INGLESIDE NAVAL STATION			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (PHASE III).....	9,600	9,600	9,600
MAGNETIC RANGE FACILITY AND LAND ACQUISITION.....	7,250	7,250	7,250
KINGSVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION			
COMBINED FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION.....	1,810	1,810	1,810
AIR FORCE			
BROOKS AFB			
STUDENT DORMITORY.....	---	5,400	5,400
DYESS AFB			
ADD TO AND ALTER DORMITORIES.....	5,895	5,895	5,895
CONSOLIDATED DINING HALL.....	---	6,400	6,400
KELLY AFB			
WING SUPPORT FACILITY (DBOF).....	3,250	3,250	3,250
LACKLAND AFB			
PIF COMBAT ARMS TRAINING FACILITY.....	4,800	4,800	4,800
UPGRADE RECRUIT DORMITORY.....	4,613	4,613	4,613
SHEPPARD AFB			
CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS COMPLEX.....	9,400	9,400	9,400
DEFENSE-WIDE			
FORT BLISS			
LIFE SAFETY UPGRADE.....	6,600	6,600	6,600
FORT HOOD			
SOCIAL WORK SERVICES CLINIC.....	1,950	1,950	1,950
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
FORT WORTH JRB			
FUEL CELL AND CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY.....	3,450	3,450	3,450
TOTAL, TEXAS.....	99,518	123,118	123,118
UTAH			
AIR FORCE			
HILL AFB			
CORRECT FIRE PROTECTION DEFICIENCIES.....	3,690	3,690	3,690
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
SALT LAKE CITY IAP			
ELECTRONICS SECURITY SQUADRON COMPLEX.....	2,250	2,250	2,250
NAVY RESERVE			
MARCO RESCEN CAMP WILLIAMS			
RESERVE TRAINING CENTER.....	1,994	1,994	1,994
TOTAL, UTAH.....	7,934	7,934	7,934
VIRGINIA			
ARMY			
FORT EUSTIS			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	3,550	3,550
NAVY			
DAHLGREN NSWC			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	8,030	8,030
DAM NECK FCTC			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS RENOVATION.....	---	7,000	7,000
NORFOLK NAV ADMIN COM ARMED FORCES COLLEGE			
WARGAMING AND RESEARCH CENTER.....	12,900	12,900	12,900
NORFOLK NAVAL STATION			
CONTROLLED INDUSTRIAL FACILITY.....	16,500	16,500	16,500
CRANE RAIL INTERCONNECT.....	---	14,400	14,400
OILY WASTE COLLECTION SYSTEM.....	10,200	10,200	10,200
PIER ELECTRICAL IMPROVEMENTS.....	---	6,200	6,200
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MNT ACT ADDN AND UPGRADE.....	8,820	8,820	8,820
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEV COMMAND			
AMMUNITION STORAGE MAGAZINES (PHASE II).....	2,060	2,060	2,060
BATTLE STAFF TRAINING FACILITY.....	3,580	3,580	3,580
SANITARY LANDFILL.....	8,930	8,930	8,930
AIR FORCE			
LANGLEY AFB			
ALTER HQ AIR COMBAT FACILITIES.....	5,160	5,160	5,160
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.....	2,845	2,845	2,845
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC OCEANA NAS			
JET FUEL STORAGE TANK (DBOF).....	1,500	1,500	1,500
NORFOLK NAVAL AIR STATION			
ENVIRONMENTAL PREVENTIVE MED UNIT ADDITION.....	1,250	1,250	1,250
PORTSMOUTH NAVAL HOSPITAL			
HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE VIII).....	24,000	24,000	24,000
ARMY RESERVE			
FORT EUSTIS			
USARC/OMS.....	10,273	10,273	10,273
TOTAL, VIRGINIA.....	108,018	147,198	147,198
WASHINGTON			
ARMY			
FORT LEWIS			
READINESS DEPLOYMENT FACILITY.....	3,600	3,600	3,600
TANK TRAIL EROSION MITIGATION-YAKIMA.....	2,000	2,000	2,000
WHOLE BRIGADE BARRACKS COMPLEX.....	49,000	49,000	49,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNMC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
NAVY			
NAVAL STATION, EVERETT			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	10,940	10,940	10,940
BERTHING PIER.....	14,800	14,800	14,800
KEYPORT NUMC			
ENVIRONMENTAL TEST FACILITY.....	---	6,800	6,800
AIR FORCE			
FAIRCHILD AFB			
KC-135 HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM.....	10,875	10,875	10,875
KC-135 SQUADRON OPS AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT.....	7,280	7,280	7,280
MCCHORD AFB			
C-17 ADD TO FLIGHT SIMULATOR.....	2,095	2,095	2,095
C-17 ADD/ALTER AVIONICS MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	1,300	1,300	1,300
C-17 ALTER HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM.....	1,100	1,100	1,100
C-17 BEDDOWN SUPPORT UTILITIES.....	5,985	5,985	5,985
C-17 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY.....	11,570	11,570	11,570
C-17 FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....	7,480	7,480	7,480
C-17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY.....	5,685	5,685	5,685
C-17 MODULAR REPLACEMENT CENTER.....	16,460	16,460	16,460
DORMITORY.....	5,390	5,390	5,390
TOTAL, WASHINGTON.....	155,560	162,360	162,360
WISCONSIN			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
VOLK FIELD (CAMP DOUGLAS)			
UPGRADE SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.....	850	850	850
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
BILLY MITCHELL FIELD (MILWAUKEE)			
IMPROVE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM.....	950	950	950
MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY.....	2,500	2,500	2,500
TOTAL, WISCONSIN.....	4,300	4,300	4,300
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE			
FE WARREN AFB			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER.....	---	3,700	3,700
CONUS CLASSIFIED			
ARMY			
CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS			
CLASSIFIED PROJECT.....	4,600	4,600	4,600
BAHRAIN ISLAND			
NAVY			
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT			
QUALITY OF LIFE IMPROVEMENTS.....	5,980	5,980	5,980
DEFENSE-WIDE			
ASU BAHRAIN			
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC.....	4,600	4,600	4,600
TOTAL, BAHRAIN ISLAND.....	10,580	10,580	10,580
GERMANY			
ARMY			
MANHEIM			
BEO RENOVATION (TAYLOR BARRACKS).....	---	9,300	9,300
BEO RENOVATION (SPINELLI BARRACKS).....	---	8,100	8,100
DARMSTADT			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (LINCOLN VILLAGE).....	---	7,300	7,300
AIR FORCE			
RAMSTEIN AB			
DORMITORY.....	5,370	5,370	5,370
SPANGDAHLEM AB			
FIRE STATION.....	1,890	1,890	1,890
TOTAL, GERMANY.....	7,260	31,960	31,960
GREECE			
NAVY			
SOUDA BAY CRETE NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT.....	7,050	7,050	7,050
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS.....	---	4,000	4,000
TOTAL, GREECE.....	7,050	11,050	11,050
ITALY			
ARMY			
CAMP EDERLE (VINCENZA)			
UPGRADE WATER SYSTEM.....	3,100	3,100	3,100
NAVY			
NAPLES NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY			
AIR CARGO TERMINAL.....	8,620	8,620	8,620
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT.....	15,700	15,700	15,700
AIR FORCE			
AVIANO AB			
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER.....	5,225	5,225	5,225
UPGRADE ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.....	1,935	1,935	1,935
UPGRADE FLIGHTLINE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYST.....	2,900	2,900	2,900
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC SIGONELLA NAS			
EXTEND HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF).....	6,100	6,100	6,100
TOTAL, ITALY.....	43,580	43,580	43,580

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	WNSC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
KOREA			
ARMY			
CAMP CASEY			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL.....	16,000	16,000	16,000
CAMP RED CLOUD			
WHOLE BARRACKS COMPLEX RENEWAL.....	14,000	14,000	14,000
AIR FORCE			
OSAN AB			
DORMITORY.....	9,780	9,780	9,780
TOTAL, KOREA.....	39,780	39,780	39,780
PUERTO RICO			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
PUERTO RICO IAP (SAN JUAN)			
REFUELING VEHICLE SHOP AND PAINT BAY.....	450	450	450
SPAIN			
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DFSC MORON AIR BASE			
REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM (DBOF).....	12,958	12,958	12,958
TURKEY			
AIR FORCE			
INCIRLIK AB			
ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	1,740	1,740	1,740
BASE OPS AND CONTROL TOWER COMPLEX.....	3,680	3,680	3,680
ADD/ALTER TRANSIENT DORMITORY.....	1,740	1,740	1,740
TOTAL, TURKEY.....	7,160	7,160	7,160
UNITED KINGDOM			
NAVY			
ST MARGAN JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATION CTR			
ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER.....	4,700	4,700	4,700
AIR FORCE			
RAF CROUGHTON			
FIRE STATION.....	1,740	1,740	1,740
RAF LAKENHEATH			
DORMITORY.....	7,950	7,950	7,950
DORMITORY.....	4,260	4,260	4,260
F-15E ADD/ALTER WEAPONS RELEASE FACILITY.....	2,615	2,615	2,615
F-15E ADD TO JET ENGINE SHOP.....	2,700	2,700	2,700
RAF WILDENHALL			
DORMITORY.....	6,195	6,195	6,195
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM.....	30,160	30,160	30,160
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED			
ARMY			
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED			
STRATEGIC LOGISTICAL PREPO COMPLEX (PHASE II).....	64,000	64,000	64,000
AIR FORCE			
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED			
MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS.....	6,735	6,735	6,735
SPECIAL TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY.....	3,680	3,680	3,680
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE.....	2,215	2,215	2,215
WAR READINESS MATERIAL WAREHOUSE.....	5,765	5,765	5,765
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED.....	82,395	82,395	82,395
NATO			
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM.....	197,000	177,000	177,000
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
HOST NATION SUPPORT.....	20,000	20,000	20,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	23,623	34,384	34,384
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	5,000	8,000	8,000
DEMOLITION.....	---	10,000	10,000
NAVY			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
ACCESS ROADS.....	300	300	300
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	42,559	50,959	50,959
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	5,115	8,115	8,115
DEMOLITION.....	---	10,000	10,000
AIR FORCE			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	9,328	12,328	12,328
VARIOUS-PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	43,387	47,387	47,387
DEMOLITION.....	---	10,000	10,000
DEFENSE-WIDE			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	47,765	47,765	47,765
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION.....	9,500	4,500	4,500
PLANNING AND DESIGN			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	2,305	2,305	2,305
CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM.....	4,124	4,124	4,124
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.....	862	862	862
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.....	4,948	4,948	4,948
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	12,239	12,239	12,239

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNHC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	4,000	4,000	4,000
DEFENSE MEDICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY.....	5,142	5,142	5,142
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.....	6,128	6,128	6,128
DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES.....	3,200	3,200	3,200
DOD DEPENDENT SCHOOLS.....	2,000	2,000	2,000
BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION.....	1,404	1,404	1,404
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION....	21,874	21,874	21,874
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
MILITARY UNACCOMPANIED HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.....	---	10,000	10,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	2,100	3,300	3,300
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	5,500	5,500	5,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	7,725	8,925	8,925
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	4,100	4,100	4,100
ARMY RESERVE			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	3,480	3,480	3,480
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	---	1,700	1,700
NAVY RESERVE			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	1,884	3,034	3,034
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	---	1,000	1,000
AIR FORCE RESERVE			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS			
PLANNING AND DESIGN.....	5,900	5,900	5,900
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION.....	4,326	4,326	4,326
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED.....	275,705	339,116	339,116
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS			
NAVY			
REDUCTIONS FOR PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS			
REDUCTION FOR PRIOR YEAR SAVINGS.....	-12,000	-12,000	---
VARIOUS LOCATIONS			
RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1992.....	---	---	-6,900
RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1993.....	---	---	-2,800
RESCISSION, FISCAL YEAR 1994.....	---	---	-2,300
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE VARIOUS.....	-12,000	-12,000	-12,000
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
ALABAMA			
REDSTONE ARSENAL (70 UNITS).....	---	8,000	8,000
HAWAII			
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS (54 UNITS).....	10,000	10,000	10,000
NORTH CAROLINA			
FORT BRAGG (88 UNITS).....	9,800	9,800	9,800
PENNSYLVANIA			
TOBYHANNA AD (ACQUIRE AND DEMOLISH 200 UNITS).....	---	890	890
TEXAS			
FORT BLISS (70 UNITS) (PHASE I).....	---	12,000	12,000
FORT HOOD (140 UNITS).....	18,500	18,500	18,500
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	33,750	114,450	114,450
PLANNING.....	2,963	2,963	2,963
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	75,013	176,603	176,603
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	53,684	53,684	53,684
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	49,057	49,057	49,057
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	270,391	270,391	270,391
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	1,241	1,241	1,241
LEASING.....	227,515	227,515	227,515
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	84,678	84,678	84,678
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	525,893	570,893	570,893
INTEREST PAYMENTS.....	7	7	7
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	1,212,466	1,257,486	1,257,466
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY.....	1,287,479	1,434,069	1,434,069

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOL ARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUD ET REQUEST	HNSC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY			
ARIZONA			
YUMA MCAS (COMMUNITY CENTER).....	709	709	709
CALIFORNIA			
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE (202 UNITS).....	19,483	29,483	29,483
LEWORE NAVAL AIR STATION (276 UNITS).....	39,837	39,837	39,837
SAN DIEGO PUBLIC WORKS CENTER (466 UNITS).....	48,719	63,429	63,429
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARCOR AIR-GRND COMB CTR (COMMUNITY CENTER).....	1,982	1,982	1,982
TWENTYNINE PALMS MARCOR AIR-GRND COMB CTR (HOUSING OFFICE).....	956	956	956
FLORIDA			
MAYPORT NS (100 UNITS).....	---	10,000	10,000
HAWAII			
KANEHOE BAY MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (54 UNITS).....	11,676	11,676	11,676
PEARL HARBOR PUBLIC WORKS CENTER (264 UNITS).....	52,586	52,586	52,586
MAINE			
BRUNSWICK NAS (72 UNITS) (PHASE I).....	---	10,925	10,925
MARYLAND			
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR TEST CTR (COMMUNITY CENTER).....	1,233	1,233	1,233
NORTH CAROLINA			
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE (COMMUNITY CENTER)....	845	845	845
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE (125 UNITS).....	---	13,360	13,360
SOUTH CAROLINA			
BEAUFORT MCAS (200 UNITS).....	---	19,110	19,110
TEXAS			
CORPUS CHRISTI NAVAL COMPLEX (156 UNITS).....	---	17,425	17,425
KINGSVILLE NAS (32 UNITS) (PHASE I).....	---	7,550	7,550
VIRGINIA			
CHESAPEAKE MSGA NORTHWEST VA (COMMUNITY CENTER).....	741	741	741
WALLOPS ISLAND AEGIS CSC (20 UNITS).....	2,975	2,975	2,975
WASHINGTON			
BANGOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE (HOUSING OFFICE).....	934	934	934
EVERETT NAVAL STATION PUGET SOUND (100 UNITS).....	15,015	15,015	15,015
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	183,483	209,133	209,133
PLANNING.....	22,552	22,552	22,552
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	403,726	532,456	532,456
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	1,290	1,290	1,290
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	34,621	34,621	34,621
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	204,967	204,967	204,967
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	67,413	67,413	67,413
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	88,707	88,707	88,707
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	508,632	552,632	552,632
LEASING.....	108,531	108,531	108,531
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.....	80	80	80
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	1,014,241	1,058,241	1,058,241
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY.....	1,417,967	1,590,697	1,590,697
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
ALASKA			
EIELSON AFB (FIRE STATION).....	2,950	2,950	2,950
EIELSON AFB (72 UNITS).....	21,127	21,127	21,127
CALIFORNIA			
BEALE AFB (56 UNITS).....	8,893	8,893	8,893
LOS ANGELES AFB (25 UNITS).....	---	6,425	6,425
TRAVIS AFB (70 UNITS).....	8,631	8,631	8,631
VANDENBERG AFB (112 UNITS).....	20,891	20,891	20,891
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
BOLLING AFB (40 UNITS).....	5,000	5,000	5,000
FLORIDA			
EGLIN AFB (HURLBURT FIELD) (1 UNIT).....	249	249	249
MACDILL AFB (56 UNITS).....	8,822	8,822	8,822
PATRICK AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY).....	853	853	853
PATRICK AFB (HOUSING SUPPLY AND STORAGE FACILITY)....	756	756	756
PATRICK AFB (HOUSING OFFICE).....	821	821	821
TYNDALL AFB (42 UNITS).....	---	6,000	6,000
GEORGIA			
ROBINS AFB (46 UNITS).....	---	5,252	5,252
LOUISIANA			
BARKSDALE AFB (80 UNITS).....	9,570	9,570	9,570
MASSACHUSETTS			
HANSCOM AFB (32 UNITS) (PHASE III).....	---	5,100	5,100
MISSOURI			
WHITEMAN AFB (68 UNITS).....	9,600	9,600	9,600
NEVADA			
NELLIS AFB (50 UNITS) (PHASE III).....	---	7,955	7,955
NEW MEXICO			
KIRTLAND AFB (50 UNITS).....	5,450	5,450	5,450
NORTH DAKOTA			
GRAND FORKS AFB (66 UNITS).....	7,784	7,784	7,784
MINOT AFB (46 UNITS).....	8,740	8,740	8,740

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

INSTALLATION & PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HNSC AUTH	HOUSE RECOMMENDED
TEXAS			
LACKLAND AFB (HOUSING OFFICE).....	450	450	450
LACKLAND AFB (HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY).....	350	350	350
LACKLAND AFB (82 UNITS).....	6,500	11,500	11,500
WASHINGTON			
MCCHORD AFB (40 UNITS).....	5,659	5,659	5,659
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS.....	88,550	125,650	125,650
PLANNING.....	9,590	9,590	9,590
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	231,236	304,068	304,068
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT.....	5,619	5,619	5,619
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS.....	30	30	30
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.....	51,185	51,185	51,185
UTILITIES ACCOUNT.....	167,985	167,985	167,985
SERVICES ACCOUNT.....	32,257	32,257	32,257
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT.....	36,228	36,228	36,228
LEASING.....	108,083	108,083	108,083
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY.....	428,087	439,087	439,087
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	829,474	840,474	840,474
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE.....	1,060,710	1,144,542	1,144,542
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NSA).....	50	50	50
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (DLA).....	3,821	3,821	3,821
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DLA).....	500	500	500
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION.....	4,371	4,371	4,371
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA).....	355	355	355
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA).....	137	137	137
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA).....	500	500	500
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA).....	405	405	405
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA).....	35	35	35
LEASING (DLA).....	14,366	14,366	14,366
LEASING (NSA).....	11,271	11,271	11,271
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA).....	184	184	184
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA).....	2,262	2,262	2,262
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA).....	32	32	32
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA).....	70	70	70
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA).....	206	206	206
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA).....	616	616	616
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA).....	524	524	524
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	30,963	30,963	30,963
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	35,334	35,334	35,334
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND			
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.....	20,000	35,000	35,000
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND			
HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND.....	36,181	36,181	36,181
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART II.....	352,800	352,802	352,800
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART III.....	971,925	971,925	971,925
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV.....	1,182,749	1,182,749	1,182,749
TOTAL, BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT.....	2,507,474	2,507,476	2,507,474
GRAND TOTAL.....	9,132,309	10,032,311	10,032,309

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 1996 AND
BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 1997**

Agency and item (1)	Appropriated, 1996 (enacted to date) (2)	Budget esti- mates, 1997 (3)	Recommended in bill (4)	Bill compared with appro- priated, 1996 (5)	Bill compared with budget estimates, 1997 (6)
Military construction, Army.....	633,814,000	434,723,000	603,584,000	-30,230,000	+168,861,000
Rescission	-6,385,000	+6,385,000
Total, Military construction, Army (net).....	627,429,000	434,723,000	603,584,000	-23,845,000	+168,861,000
Military construction, Navy.....	554,636,000	525,346,000	724,476,000	+169,840,000	+199,130,000
Rescissions.....	-6,385,000	-12,000,000	-5,615,000	-12,000,000
Total, Military construction, Navy (net).....	548,251,000	525,346,000	712,476,000	+164,225,000	+187,130,000
Military construction, Air Force.....	587,234,000	603,059,000	678,914,000	+91,680,000	+75,855,000
Rescissions.....	-15,150,000	+15,150,000
Total, Military construction, Air Force (net).....	572,084,000	603,059,000	678,914,000	+106,830,000	+75,855,000
Military construction, Defense-wide.....	640,357,000	812,945,000	772,345,000	+131,988,000	-40,600,000
Rescissions.....	-41,866,000	+41,866,000
Total, Military construction, Defense-wide (net).....	598,491,000	812,945,000	772,345,000	+173,854,000	-40,600,000
Total, Active components.....	2,346,255,000	2,376,073,000	2,767,319,000	+421,064,000	+391,246,000
Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.....	10,000,000	+10,000,000	+10,000,000
Military construction, Army National Guard.....	137,110,000	7,600,000	41,316,000	-95,794,000	+33,716,000

Military construction, Air National Guard	171,272,000	75,394,000	118,394,000	-52,878,000	+43,000,000
Rescission	-6,700,000	+6,700,000
Total, Military construction, Air National Guard (net)	164,572,000	75,394,000	118,394,000	-46,178,000	+43,000,000
Military construction, Army Reserve	72,728,000	48,459,000	50,159,000	-22,569,000	+1,700,000
Military construction, Naval Reserve	19,055,000	10,983,000	33,169,000	+14,114,000	+22,186,000
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	36,482,000	51,655,000	51,655,000	+15,173,000
Total, Reserve components	429,947,000	194,091,000	294,693,000	-135,254,000	+100,602,000
Total, Military construction	2,776,202,000	2,570,164,000	3,072,012,000	+295,810,000	+501,848,000
Appropriations	(2,852,688,000)	(2,570,164,000)	(3,084,012,000)	(+231,324,000)	(+513,848,000)
Rescissions	(-76,486,000)	(-12,000,000)	(+64,486,000)	(-12,000,000)
NATO Security Investment Program	161,000,000	197,000,000	177,000,000	+16,000,000	-20,000,000
Supplemental appropriation	37,500,000	-37,500,000
Total, NATO	198,500,000	197,000,000	177,000,000	-21,500,000	-20,000,000
Family housing, Army:					
Construction	116,656,000	75,013,000	176,603,000	+59,947,000	+101,590,000
Operation and Maintenance	1,335,596,000	1,212,466,000	1,257,466,000	-78,130,000	+45,000,000
Total, Family housing, Army	1,452,252,000	1,287,479,000	1,434,069,000	-18,183,000	+146,590,000

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 1996 AND
BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 1997—Continued**

(1) Agency and item	(2) Appropriated, 1996 (enacted to date)	(3) Budget esti- mates, 1997	(4) Recommended in bill	(5) Bill compared with appro- priated, 1996	(6) Bill compared with budget estimates, 1997
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
Construction.....	525,058,000	403,726,000	532,456,000	+ 7,398,000	+ 128,730,000
Operation and Maintenance.....	1,048,329,000	1,014,241,000	1,058,241,000	+ 9,912,000	+ 44,000,000
Total, Family housing, Navy.....	1,573,387,000	1,417,967,000	1,590,697,000	+ 17,310,000	+ 172,730,000
Family housing, Air Force:					
Construction.....	297,738,000	231,236,000	304,068,000	+ 6,330,000	+ 72,832,000
Operation and Maintenance.....	849,213,000	829,474,000	840,474,000	- 8,739,000	+ 11,000,000
Total, Family housing, Air Force.....	1,146,951,000	1,060,710,000	1,144,542,000	- 2,409,000	+ 83,832,000
Family housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction.....	3,772,000	4,371,000	4,371,000	+ 599,000
Operation and Maintenance.....	30,467,000	30,963,000	30,963,000	+ 496,000
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide.....	34,239,000	35,334,000	35,334,000	+ 1,095,000
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.....	22,000,000	20,000,000	35,000,000	+ 13,000,000	+ 15,000,000
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense.....	75,586,000	36,181,000	36,181,000	- 39,405,000

Total, Family housing.....	4,304,415,000	3,857,671,000	4,275,823,000	-28,592,000	+418,152,000
Construction.....	(943,224,000)	(714,346,000)	(1,017,498,000)	(+74,274,000)	(+303,152,000)
Operation and Maintenance.....	(3,263,605,000)	(3,087,144,000)	(3,187,144,000)	(-76,461,000)	(+100,000,000)
Family Housing Improvement Fund.....	(22,000,000)	(20,000,000)	(35,000,000)	(+13,000,000)	(+15,000,000)
Homeowners Assistance Fund	(75,586,000)	(36,181,000)	(36,181,000)	(-39,405,000)	
Base realignment and closure accounts:					
Part II	964,843,000	352,800,000	352,800,000	-612,043,000	
Part III.....	2,148,480,000	971,925,000	971,925,000	-1,176,555,000	
Part IV	784,569,000	1,182,749,000	1,182,749,000	+398,180,000	
Total, Base realignment and closure accounts	3,897,892,000	2,507,474,000	2,507,474,000	-1,390,418,000	
Grand total:					
New budget (obligational) authority	11,177,009,000	9,132,309,000	10,032,309,000	-1,144,700,000	+900,000,000
Appropriations	(11,253,495,000)	(9,132,309,000)	(10,044,309,000)	(-1,209,186,000)	(+912,000,000)
Rescissions	(-76,486,000)		(-12,000,000)	(+64,486,000)	(-12,000,000)

○